

Optical Imaging of Nova Shells and the Maximum Magnitude-Rate of Decline Relationship

Ronald A. Downes¹

Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, MD 21218

downes@stsci.edu

and

Hilmar W. Duerbeck²

WE/OBSS, Free University Brussels (VUB), Pleinlaan 2, 1050 Brussels, Belgium and Space Telescope Science Institute, Baltimore, MD 21218

hduerbec@vub.ac.be

ABSTRACT

An optical imaging study of recent 30 novae has been undertaken using both ground-based and space-based observations. Resolved shells have been detected around 9 objects in the ground-based data, while another four objects have shells detected by *Hubble Space Telescope* observations; for RW UMi, we fail to detect a shell which was observed five years earlier. Images in $H\alpha$, and when appropriate [O III] $\lambda 5007$, are shown, and finding charts for novae without shells are given if no published chart is available. Expansion parallaxes for all systems with shells are derived, and absolute magnitudes for a total of 28 objects are presented, along with a discussion of the maximum magnitude-rate of decline relation. We find that separate linear fits for fast and slow novae may be a better representation of the data than a single, global fit. At minimum, most novae have similar magnitudes as those of dwarf novae at maximum and novalike stars.

Subject headings: novae: shells, cataclysmic variables

1. Introduction

In the course of a nova eruption, a significant amount of material ($10^{-4} M_{\odot}$) is ejected, which can form a visible shell around the system. Observation of this shell can lead to accurate distances to the novae via the expansion parallax method. For this reason, searches for nova shells have been undertaken by various groups (e.g. Cohen and Rosenthal (1983), Slavin, O'Brien

¹Visiting Astronomer, Kitt Peak National Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc. under contract with the National Science Foundation.

²based on observations collected at the European Southern Observatory, La Silla, Chile

and Dunlop (1995)). With the distance in hand, one can then determine the luminosity of the system at maximum light, which can be used to calibrate the maximum magnitude-rate of decline relation. Use of this relation can allow for determinations of the distance to objects such as the Virgo Cluster (Della Valle and Livio 1995).

When we embarked on a survey of nova remnants with small telescopes to measure nova shell line fluxes, we did not expect to make a contribution to the study of nova shell morphology and the nova luminosity calibration. Nevertheless, the results (including the discovery of 4 previously undetected shells), combined with data collected at larger earthbound telescopes and with *Hubble Space Telescope*, encouraged us to attempt a derivation of nova distances and luminosities at maximum and minimum. We constructed maximum magnitude-rate of decline relations, based on the standard light curve parameters t_2 , t_3 and m_{15} , and also studied the distribution of minimum magnitudes.

The use of novae as distance indicators poses more problems than that of other methods based on variable stars. While novae are brighter than pulsating variables, their light curve characteristics require a monitoring with high time resolution (a day or better), and the determination of the decline rate requires close monitoring until a given object declines by two or three magnitudes.

The nova luminosity calibration might be done on a purely photometric basis, using (unreddened) novae in extragalactic systems (M31, Magellanic Clouds). However, we would need to tie the novae into the distance ladder by pulsating variables or binaries, thus introducing possible systematic errors of the distance scale.

The other approach is the expansion parallax method, which combines the angular expansion rate (observed several years or decades after outburst) with radial velocity observations during outburst or at later stages. This approach is also open to systematic errors. Well-observed novae give evidence that mass ejection is not spherical, and inclination effects play an important role. Furthermore, the radial velocity of the shell of a given nova is often variable with time, even if we restrict ourselves to the observation of the “principal system”. High and low velocity material is present, the slower material sometimes shows signs of acceleration. Similar problems arise in the determination of angular expansion rates, since the bright nebular structures are often immersed in a more extended fuzz. The more rapidly expanding shell becomes increasingly fainter (a phenomenon which is also seen in the ‘sharpening’ of the nebular emission line profiles in nova spectra in the course of the outburst), making the measurement of the shell size more difficult. If we restrict ourselves to the spectral emission line maxima and brightest features in the resolved shells, we still encounter a major problem, since these condensations are often arranged in an inclined “equatorial ring”.

A combination of both methods is the derivation of the form of the Maximum Magnitude-Rate of Decline (MMRD) calibration from an extragalactic sample, while determining its zero point calibration using galactic novae.

Additional problems are the determination of the proper light maximum (sometimes it is uncertain whether the observed maximum is the true maximum, especially when spectral observations in early stages are lacking) and the amount of interstellar absorption (most galactic novae are concentrated towards the galactic plane).

Galactic novae with a well-covered maximum (to obtain the rate of decline), UV observations at the nebular or minimum stage (to derive the reddening from line ratios or the 2200 Å band), and a well-resolved shell (to derive the kinematic characteristics), are extremely rare. Only a handful of novae fulfill these criteria, and a luminosity calibration based on small number statistics may suffer from systematic effects, such as the effect of white dwarf masses or chemical composition on the luminosity. Thus we tried to use all novae for which expansion parallax data are available, by making at some points “educated guesses” or using “brute averaging”. This certainly puts a lot of arbitrariness in the calibration, but we hope that this fairly extensive data base, which will not be augmented considerably for a long time, gives a reasonable insight in the present state of the art of novae as distance calibrators, if one deals with available, and not ideal, samples.

2. Observations

The observations were obtained on 1998 March 21-23 with Dutch 0.9m telescope at the ESO La Silla Observatory, using a TEK TK512CB chip (512×512 pixels) with a scale of $0''.465/\text{pixel}$. Further observations were obtained at the Kitt Peak National Observatory on 1998 May 28 - June 1 with the 2.1m telescope using the TEK “T1KA” chip (1024×1024 pixels with a scale of $0''.305/\text{pixel}$) and on 1998 June 30 with the 0.9m telescope using the TEK “T2KA” chip (2048×2048 pixels with a scale of $0''.7/\text{pixel}$). The data were obtained with narrow band filters centered at $H\alpha$ (80Å FWHM at ESO, 36Å at KPNO) and $[O\ III]\ \lambda 5007$ (55Å at ESO, 31Å at KPNO), as well as off-band and *UBVR* filters; see Table 1 for details. The data were reduced in the standard manner.

The search for shells was performed using two different techniques. First, a PSF was generated for each image (with the routines in DAOPHOT) using, whenever possible, stars of comparable brightness to the nova. The PSF was then subtracted from each star in the image, and the resultant image examined for evidence of extended emission (i.e. residual flux) around both the nova and nearby stars (as a control sample). In almost every case, this technique was definitive. The second method was to perform a radial profile fit of the nova and several stars of comparable magnitude. The fits were compared to determine if the nova had a broader profile. When a shell was detected, a contour plot was generated from which the size of the shell was measured by determining the edge of the shell (i.e. where the shell merged into the sky).

For shell sizes obtained from the literature, we note in Table 2 the measurement technique (direct image or radial profile) used. Radial profiles were quoted for only four objects. In two cases (RW UMi and V351 Pup), the two techniques yielded consistent answers, while in the remaining two cases (PW Vul and QU Vul), the radial profile values were considerably smaller than the direct image values. To maintain as consistent a dataset as possible, these discrepant radial profile values were not used in our analysis.

3. Results

3.1. General remarks

We have detected shells around 10 objects, and in Table 2 we present the measured diameters from our data, as well as summarize the previous measurements from the literature. We have obtained data on another 5 objects in which we fail to detect a shell, but for which a shell has been reported in the literature or can be seen in WFPC2 data. Since different measurement techniques can produce different results, we include in the table a code indicating the measurement technique used (radial profile fit or direct image); 85% of the measurements are from direct images where the shell is clearly resolved. For the radial profile fits, a correction for the PSF has been made, while for the direct images, not such correction was made. We have not corrected our measurements of direct images for geometric effects caused by the ellipticity of the shell (see below).

In the following sections 4 and 5, we present the results of our new observations (shell sizes, expansion rates), and derive distances and luminosities; we include the supporting data necessary for these derivations (i.e. interstellar extinction, light curve parameters).

Nebular expansion parallaxes are a fundamental method for determining the distances to novae if the three basic parameters — age, expansion velocity, and size — can be properly determined. While age can (usually) be determined accurately, size (geometry) and expansion velocity are less certain, and will dominate the uncertainty in the derived distance. To derive a luminosity furthermore requires an accurate light curve and a trustworthy reddening estimate.

3.1.1. Geometry and kinematics

Projected nova shells sometimes appear spherically symmetric, but in many cases are oval. Furthermore, the densest gas clouds are often concentrated in a ring, which is inclined to the line of sight of the observer. Thus, projection effects should be taken into account (see Wade et al. (2000)). There is general agreement that if nova shells are non-spherical, they are prolate ellipsoids. From the shells of the slow novae T Aur, DQ Her, BT Mon, RR Pic, and FH Ser, whose inclinations can be estimated with some confidence, an average ellipticity 0.75 ± 0.05 is deduced; if major diameters, or some average diameters are used (as in our diameter and radial profile data), errors should on the average be within 10% of the true distance. Other novae like GK Per or V603 Aql tend to have more spherical shells, or at least ‘condensations’ arranged in a circular pattern.

Radial velocities show a very large dispersion, not only in the different “spectral systems” (see, e.g. McLaughlin (1960)), but also for a given system. If a P Cygni line profile is observed soon after outburst, the radial velocity of the absorption line is strongly influenced by the superimposed emission, but such radial velocities are the only information available for some objects. In the nebular stage, “castellated” emission line profiles are observed. They have broad wings that generally disappear at later phases. Direct images of nova shells often show the associated condensations (rings or blobs), surrounded by a faint fuzz, which originates in faster moving material (as seen, e.g. deep images of nova shells in Duerbeck (1987c)). For several objects in our survey, bright condensations are still close to the star and are easily resolved on HST images,

while more extended faint fuzz shows up on images taken with earthbound telescopes. Ideally, one should be able to associate maxima of emission lines with given condensations in the shell, i.e. one should have a clear idea about the geometry of the shell. However, the clumps most easily seen on direct images are those moving at right angles to the line of sight, and do not contribute to the width or “castellation” of the emission line. In most cases, spatial information of shell spectra, which is essential for reconstructing the shell geometry, is missing.

If there was no deceleration of the expanding shell, then measurements at any age would suffice for an accurate distance measurement. However, depending on the neighboring environment, nova shells could suffer from deceleration. Duerbeck (1987a) presented a method to approximate the temporal variation of the shell radius, which can be applied if observations at many epochs are available. As only HR Del and V603 Aql have sufficient data for a deceleration determination, we have derived distances to all objects assuming no deceleration. Our estimates are given in Table 3.

3.1.2. *Luminosity*

The information needed to determine the absolute magnitude at maximum $M_{\max}$ or 15 days after maximum M_{15} , which is essential for the use of a nova as a “standard candle”, is:

1. A trustworthy apparent magnitude at maximum (either a photoelectric B , V , visual (v) or photographic (pg) magnitude), as well as a well-defined light curve. In all cases, original sources have been re-examined, and light curve parameters have been re-determined, rather than taken from data collections like Duerbeck (1987b). We prefer photoelectric V magnitudes over visual v magnitudes, and only quote photographic (pg) magnitudes if no other ones are available.

2. The value of the interstellar reddening can be derived with sufficient accuracy from multi-wavelength observations of objects in decline (e.g. from emission line ratios or the strength of the 2200 Å band), or from UV observations of old novae (strength of the 2200 Å band). In cases where no such information was available, A_V was determined by the help of the galactic extinction program of Hakkila et al. (1997), which interpolates and averages data based on various studies of galactic extinction.

In addition, the determination of the absolute magnitude of postnovae requires a reliable magnitude in the minimum stage. We took V -magnitudes from our survey, as well as from Szkody (1994) and a forthcoming statistical study of postnova luminosities (Duerbeck et al. 2000).

In the following, absolute magnitudes of novae at maximum light are usually given only with an accuracy of 0.^m1, and at minimum with an accuracy of 0.^m05. Calculations were often done with higher accuracy, and when corrections (photographic to visual magnitudes, effects of accretion disc inclination) were applied, the result was rounded to the same accuracy.

3.2. Individual objects

3.2.1. CP Pup (1942)

CP Pup was a fast nova that reached a maximum of $m_{v,\max} = 0.35$ in November 1942. Visual and photographic light curves were published by Pettit (1949) and Gaposchkin (1946), from which we derive $t_2 = 6$, $t_3 = 8$ days (averaged over the visual and photographic curves), and $m_{v,15} = 4.95$, where t_2 is the time for a nova to decline 2 magnitudes from maximum, t_3 is the time to decline by 3 magnitudes, and m_{15} is the magnitude 15 days after maximum.

The first reported detection of a shell was by Zwicky (see Bowen (1956)), who noted a diameter of $5''.6$ in 1955 in red light. Williams (1982) observed the shell in 1980 ($H\alpha$ /[N II]) and found a diameter of $14''$, while Cohen and Rosenthal (1983) found the same shell size ($H\alpha$ /[N II]) in 1981. More recently, Gill and O’Brien (1998) found a diameter of $20''$ ($H\alpha$ /[N II]) in 1995. A *Hubble Space Telescope* image, obtained with the WFPC2 and F656N ($H\alpha$) filter in 1995 (program 6060), shows the stellar remnant surrounded by a circle of bright knots of diameter $19''.8$; the average surface brightness in the shell is 1.66×10^{-15} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$. Our $H\alpha$ image (Figure 1) reveals about 11 blobs arranged in a circular shell $\sim 20''$ in diameter (average surface brightness is 3.41×10^{-14} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$). The same arrangement is seen in our [O III] data (average surface brightness of 3.37×10^{-14} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$). The clumpiness seen in the 1980 and 1995 frames is still present.

The expansion velocity (v_{exp}) for CP Pup has been determined by McLaughlin (1943) and Sanford (1945), who derived a value of 1500 km/s shortly after outburst. Spectra in the nebular stage (Sanford 1945) show a profile consisting of 11 emission components, with a velocity spread between -454 and $+570$ km/s. Similar results were obtained by Gratton (1953) (-510 and $+590$ km/s). Spectroscopy of the resolved blobs in the shell seen in the ground-based images yields a similar range in velocities (Seitter 1990). We have assumed a $v_{\text{exp}} = 550$ km/s, based on Gratton’s result.

Previous distances estimates (Duerbeck (1981), Williams (1982), and Gill and O’Brien (1998)) place CP Pup at distances of 1.5, 1.6 and 1.8 ± 0.4 kpc, respectively, while Cohen and Rosenthal (1983) give 0.85 kpc, by neglecting the shell geometry and assuming a much smaller expansion velocity.

Since this is a well-observed object, we will illustrate the uncertainties in the expansion rate that directly enters into the distance determination. We compare the ground-based deep $H\alpha$ frame, obtained with the ESO/MPIA 2.2 m telescope in 1987 (Duerbeck 1987c), the snapshot HST image of 1995, and our $H\alpha$ frame, obtained 1998 with the ESO/Dutch 0.92 m telescope. The expansion rate derived from the centers of the blobs that are arranged in the circular shell is 0.151, 0.153 and 0.151 arcsec/year, respectively. In spite of the fact that the limiting magnitude and the seeing effects are very different for the three frames, the results are in good agreement.

If the size outlined by the outer edges of the blobs is used, the expansion rates are 0.193, 0.187, and 0.178; furthermore, the 1987 image reaches to fainter surface magnitudes, and the extent of the “faint fuzz” leads to an expansion rate of $0''.26/\text{yr}$.

The HST exposure reveals that instead of eleven blobs, the shell consists of about 30 blobs with typical diameters of $1''$ (some are more extended and show special shapes) The image also

shows a symmetry axis that goes through several blobs which may be interpreted as “polar blobs”, while the remainder form an ring that is fragmented into ~ 20 single clouds. If the ring is circular, its ellipticity indicates an inclination of $\sim 42^\circ.5$, and the blob-ring structure would form a prolate ellipsoid with $b/a = 0.6$.

Correspondingly, the radial velocities observed in high-resolution spectra a few years after outburst, assumed to form principally in the fragments of the ring, must be corrected for inclination. Thus, while the measured radial velocity is ± 550 km/s, the true $v_{exp} = 550 / \sin 42^\circ.5 \sim 815$ km/s, and the distance, derived from the expansion rate of the blob centers (along the major axis), is 1140 pc. If this distance and the expansion rate of the faint fuzz are used to calculate the radial velocity of the “fast material”, a value of 1415 km/s is derived, which is similar to that observed in the absorption lines in the spectrum of the nova observed shortly after maximum. Such a dichotomy of fast and slow moving material, forming shells of different diameters, also occurs in PW Vul, QU Vul, V842 Cen and V1974 Cyg (see below).

Gilmozzi, Selvelli and Cassatella (1994) derived an E_{B-V} value of 0.25. Thus, the absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -10.7^{+0.6}_{-0.4}$, $M_{V,15} = -6.1$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 3.90$.

Summing up, if the true expansion velocity is known, the use of “outer edges” would decrease the distance by 20%, the neglect of the inclination angle would decrease the distance by 32%, and a “wrong” expansion velocity of 1400-1500 km/s would increase the distance by $\sim 80\%$. If these errors are taken to be typical ones, luminosities of objects for which details on shell structure and extent, inclination and radial velocity are not known, may suffer errors of up to $\pm 1^m.25$.

3.2.2. CT Ser (1948)

CT Ser was discovered well past maximum light in early 1948, and was monitored intensely during decline. The nova was observed again by Szkody and Howell (1992), who report a typical CV spectrum containing Balmer and He II $\lambda 4686$ emission. There have been no reports of a detection of a shell for this object. Our H α image, shown in Figure 2, clearly reveals a nearly circular shell in the PSF-subtracted image with a diameter of $\sim 8''$ in both directions (with an average surface brightness of 7.48×10^{-16} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$). The shell does not appear in the [O III] data (with a 3σ limiting surface brightness of 1.13×10^{-15} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$).

Although they do not report on the existence of a shell, Cohen and Rosenthal (1983) do provide $v_{exp} = 535$ km/s for CT Ser.

There are no previous distances estimates for CT Ser. Based on the above expansion velocity, we derive a distance of 1.4 kpc. According to the galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997), the extinction is low ($A_V = 0.02 \pm 0.17$), and is neglected here.

The observed apparent maximum magnitude, $m_V = 7.9$, is likely 3^m below the true maximum. The observed absolute magnitude at maximum, $M_V = -3.0$, supports the assumption that CT Ser was a slow nova discovered long after maximum. The absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.40$.

3.2.3. *RW UMi (1956)*

RW UMi was a slow nova at high galactic latitude with a large outburst amplitude. The photographic light curve by Satyvaldiev (1963) yields $m_{\text{pg}} = 6.1$ at maximum, $t_2 = 48$ days, $t_3 = 88$ days, and $m_{\text{pg},15} = 6.5$, although it is not certain that the true maximum was observed, since there is a gap of 16 days before the first positive observation, and there is no spectroscopic data taken during outburst. A marginally resolved shell was originally detected by Cohen (1985) with a diameter of $2''.0$. More recently, Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) observed a diffuse shell with a diameter of $3''.0$, as well as two regions of nebulous emission unconnected to the shell. Our $\text{H}\alpha$ image, shown in Figure 3, fails to detect either the diffuse shell nor the two unconnected regions seen by Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995); the 3σ limiting surface brightness is $5.88 \times 10^{-16} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. The shell is also not visible in our $[\text{O III}]$ data to a limiting surface brightness of $7.95 \times 10^{-16} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. A WFPC2 $\text{H}\alpha$ image obtained about five months prior to our observations (for details see Ringwald et al. (1998) – program 7386) also failed to detect the shell to a 3σ limiting surface brightness of $6.37 \times 10^{-16} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. In the five years between the observations, it appears the shell has faded below the detection limit.

Cohen (1985) estimated $v_{\text{exp}} = 950 \text{ km/s}$. Previous distance estimates place RW UMi at a distance of $\sim 5.0 \text{ kpc}$ (Cohen (1985) estimated 5.6 kpc , Kaluzny and Chlebowsky (1989) $> 2.8 - 6.9 \text{ kpc}$ and Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) $5 \pm 2 \text{ kpc}$). We adopt the latter result. A value of $A_V = 0.29 \pm 0.24$ is derived from the program of Hakkila et al. (1997). With an apparent maximum magnitude $m_{\text{pg}} = 6.1$ (which may not correspond to true outburst maximum), the absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_{\text{pg}} = -7.8^{+1.1}_{-0.7}$ (error from distance), $M_{\text{pg},15} = -7.3$, and the absolute magnitude at postoutburst minimum is $M_V = 5.15$.

3.2.4. *HR Del (1967)*

HR Del was an unusually slow nova with a well-observed outburst. The V light curve compiled by Duerbeck (1996) yields $m_{V,\text{max}} = 3.76$, $t_2 = 172$ days, $t_3 = 230$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 5.08$. Soderblom (1976) first obtained spatially resolved spectroscopy of the nebular shell, followed by a more detailed study by Solf (1983). Kohoutek (1981) obtained the first photograph of the resolved shell in $[\text{O III}]$, finding a oval remnant $3''.7 \times 2''.5$ in size in 1981. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1994) obtained CCD imaging in both $[\text{O III}]$ and $\text{H}\alpha/[\text{N II}]$ in 1992, which revealed a ring-like structure of diameter $5'' - 6''$ in $\text{H}\alpha/[\text{N II}]$, and a bipolar structure of similar diameter in $[\text{O III}]$. The $\text{H}\alpha/[\text{N II}]$ also revealed enhancements that lie at the same positions as the $[\text{O III}]$ components, although whether this implies emission from the same material, or a simple line-of-sight alignment, is not clear. In 1993, Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) re-observed HR Del, revealing more extended emission ($11''.5 \times 8''.5$) than previously seen. A *Hubble Space Telescope* image, obtained with the WFPC2 and F656N ($\text{H}\alpha$) filter (program 6770), does not show the enhancements seen by Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995), and has a smaller extent ($8''.7 \times 6''.9$); the average surface brightness is $1.85 \times 10^{-14} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$.

Our images, shown in Figure 4, are similar to that in Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1994), with $\text{H}\alpha$ having a complete shell ($9''.8 \times 8''.5$), and a surface brightness ranging from $6.43 \times 10^{-14} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ in the outer region to $1.85 \times 10^{-13} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$ in the

inner region. The [O III] image shows a bi-polar structure, with an average surface brightness of $1.59 \times 10^{-13} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. The enhancements seen by Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1994) in the $\text{H}\alpha$ /[N II] image are not present in our image, and the emission in the 2 lines appear to be growing at slightly different rates (i.e. the major axis in [O III] is $9''.5$).

The expansion velocity for HR Del was measured by Malakpur (1975) (470 km/s), Kohoutek (1981) (540 km/s), Cohen and Rosenthal (1983) (520 km/s), and Solf (1983) (560 km/s).

Previous expansion parallax measurements (Malakpur (1975), Kohoutek (1981), Duerbeck (1981), Solf (1983), Cohen and Rosenthal (1983), Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1994), and Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995)) yield a distance for HR Del of $940 \pm 155 \text{ pc}$. Distances based on other techniques (e.g. interstellar lines, reddening, light curve decline) yield comparable values of $835 \pm 92 \text{ pc}$ (Drechsel et al. 1977). Based on an expansion velocity of 525 km/s (similar to that used by the papers cited above), we derive a distance of 750 pc. Note that we attempted to fit the HR Del data to derive the deceleration, but the best fit resulted in an acceleration of the shell. An acceleration is possible if there is a fast wind from the stellar remnant, and P Cygni profiles (indicative of outflow) have been detected in the spectrum of HR Del (Krautter et al. 1981).

The expansion rates for HR Del show significant variations, with the measurement of Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) being the most discrepant. That observation was obtained with a similar filter and exposure time to our data, but with a telescope twice as large, and therefore goes much fainter. This can be seen by overlaying our data on the Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) $\text{H}\alpha$ image, which shows that our shell is slightly larger than the main emission in their image, and that we fail to detect their lowest (2σ) contour.

We adopt a distance of $0.76 \pm 0.13 \text{ kpc}$. Verbunt (1987) derived an E_{B-V} value of 0.15 ± 0.03 , and with an apparent maximum magnitude $m_V = 3.76$, the absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -6.1 \pm 0.4$, $M_{V,15} = -4.8 \pm 0.4$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 2.30$.

3.2.5. V3888 Sgr (1974)

V3888 Sgr was discovered in late 1974 during its decline from observed maximum, which was rapid ($t_3 \sim 10$ days according to Leibowitz, Wyckoff and Vidal (1976)). While some photometric (Vogt and Maitzen 1977) and spectroscopic (Leibowitz, Wyckoff and Vidal 1976) observations were obtained during decline, there have been no published observations of the object in quiescence. Our $\text{H}\alpha$ image, shown in Figure 5, shows a nearly complete shell of size $5''.2 \times 4''.6$ (with a surface brightness of $7.82 \times 10^{-16} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$); the object is too faint to yield a reliable profile fit.

Radial velocity measurements made shortly after discovery gave an average v_{exp} of $\sim 1300 \text{ km/s}$ (Leibowitz, Wyckoff and Vidal 1976).

Previous estimates place V3888 Sgr at a distance of 3.5 kpc (Vogt and Maitzen 1977) to $\lesssim 6 \text{ kpc}$ (Leibowitz, Wyckoff and Vidal 1976), based on photometric and spectroscopic evidence. Our derived distance, based on a v_{exp} of 1300 km/s, is 2.5 kpc. The galactic extinction program of Hakkila et al. (1997) yields a value $A_V = 1.21 \pm 0.60$, however, Vogt and Maitzen (1977) argue for a value $A_V = 3.2$.

The observed apparent maximum magnitude, $m_V = 9$, is likely 2^m5 below the true maximum, as indicated from the spectroscopic appearance of the nova. If $A_V = 1.21$ is used, the observed absolute magnitude, $M_V = -4.2 \pm 0.6$ would point at a slow nova with $M_V \sim -6.5$. In this case, the absolute magnitude at minimum, $M_V = 7.75$, appears to be unusually faint. If the second value, $A_V = 3.2$ is used, the observed absolute magnitude, $M_V = -6.2$, yields an extrapolated maximum luminosity which assigns V3888 Sgr to the group of fast novae (an assumption that also entered the derivation of the reddening value of Vogt and Maitzen (1977)). The absolute magnitude at minimum, $M_V = 5.75$, would be in better agreement with other novae (this result was also used in Table 6). Thus, either the galactic extinction program underestimates the reddening value, or the exnova is indeed unusually faint (e.g. the exnova lies in the period gap).

3.2.6. *NQ Vul (1976)*

NQ Vul was a moderately fast nova that has been well-studied. The light curve is somewhat peculiar (see the compilation by Duerbeck (1981)); the delayed maximum of $m_V = 6.25$ (with a scatter of 0.25) is followed by a short, deep minimum, so that a derived t_2 -time of 2.5 days is of no significance: if one disregards this fluctuation, $t_2 = 23$ days, $t_3 = 53$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 7.55$. Observations by Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995), obtained in 1993, revealed a circular shell with an average diameter of $\sim 8''$, a knot at the northern end, and an inner shell with a diameter of $3''$. There is also evidence of a bar-like feature extending across the stellar remnant at a position angle of $\sim 140^\circ$. A WFPC2 H α image obtained in 1997 (program 7386) did not detect the shell to a 3σ limiting surface brightness of 6.88×10^{-16} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$. However, due to its extremely high resolution, WFPC2 is not efficient at detecting extended, diffuse objects, so the lack of detection is not unexpected. Our H α image, shown in Figure 6, reveals an almost circular shell of size $7''.3 \times 7''.0$, with an average surface brightness of 8.98×10^{-15} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$. The northern extension seen by Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) is detected, and while a bar-like structure is seen, comparison with field stars shows that it is an artifact of the PSF subtraction. The shell does not appear in the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ data to a 3σ limiting surface brightness of 2.24×10^{-15} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$.

The absorption lines of NQ Vul were measured soon after outburst (Cottrell and Smith (1978), Younger (1980), and Klare and Wolf (1978)), and a wide range of expansion velocities are given by these authors. Klare and Wolf (1978) noted the conspicuous high-velocity systems; they derived for the principal spectrum an average value -950 , for the diffuse enhanced -1500 , and for the Orion spectrum -1950 km/s. The total half-width at zero intensity of the strong [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and Balmer lines is 1150 and 900 km/s, respectively.

One year after outburst, Klare and Wolf (1978) observed a four-peaked structure with components at $-554, -295, +45$ and $+537$ km/s, and Cohen and Rosenthal (1983) derived a v_{exp} of 705 km/s 5-6 years after outburst. As usual, the absorption lines have higher velocities than the emission lines, which may indicate a noticeable inclination of the principal part of the shell. The expansion velocity of Cohen and Rosenthal (1983) may be an acceptable compromise between the absorption and emission velocities measured by Klare and Wolf (1978).

Previous distances estimates place NQ Vul at a distance of 1.7 kpc (Klare and Wolf 1978),

1.2 kpc (Duerbeck 1981) and 1.6 ± 0.8 kpc (Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop 1995). If we associate the inner shell of Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) with the slowly expanding material observed by Klare and Wolf (1978) (average v_{exp} of the fast components = ± 545 km/s), and the larger shell, as well as our observation, with the material that is evident in the total width of the nebular and Balmer lines (± 1025 km/s), we derive an average distance 1.16 ± 0.21 kpc. The nova polarization (Klare and Wolf 1978) indicates 1.8 kpc.

In the following, we adopt the distance of 1.16 kpc. A value of $A_V = 1.38 \pm 0.49$ was derived from Hakkila et al. (1997), while Klare and Wolf (1978) find a value of 2.79 from interstellar polarization. We have used $A_V = 2.1 \pm 0.7$ in the following. The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -6.1 \pm 0.8$, $M_{V,15} = -4.85$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.30$.

3.2.7. *PW Vul (1984)*

PW Vul was a moderately fast nova (Andreä 1991) with a well-studied outburst. The light curve is very structured, so that the derivation of reliable decline times is difficult, as noted by Robb and Scarfe (1995); we adopt a maximum magnitude $m_V = 6.3$, $t_2 = 82$ days, $t_3 = 126$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 7.7$. A spectrum of the object, obtained in 1991 by Ringwald, Naylor and Mukai (1996), shows strong $H\alpha$ and [O III] $\lambda 5007$ emission. The shell of PW Vul was first detected by Ringwald and Naylor (1996) in 1993, who found a diameter of $1''.1$ by examining the radial profile of the object. Our $H\alpha$ image, shown in Figure 7, reveals a clearly resolved, circular shell of size $4''.0 \times 3''.7$, with an average surface brightness of 9.35×10^{-15} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1} arcsec^{-2} . The shell does not appear in the [O III] data to a 3σ limiting surface brightness of 2.95×10^{-15} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1} arcsec^{-2} . However, a WFPC2 exposure (program 7386) obtained shortly after our observations reveals a faint, diffuse shell of size $1''.5 \times 1''.5$, considerably smaller than our ground-based observations, with an average surface brightness of 7.78×10^{-15} ergs cm^{-2} s^{-1} arcsec^{-2} . The WFPC2 data is detecting emission “hidden” in the ground-based PSF, while the ground-based data shows diffuse emission which WFPC2 cannot detect.

In order to investigate the large difference in shell sizes between the Ringwald and Naylor (1996) data and our data, the former authors kindly provided us with a copy of their data. We were able to confirm their radial profile measurement, however a contour plot reveals a shell of size $4''.0 \times 3''.7$. While this measurement has not been corrected for the intrinsic PSF, it does illustrate the difference in determining the shell size from radial profiles (FWHM) and from contour plots (FWZI).

The expansion velocity was measured by Kenyon and Wade (1986) shortly after outburst to be 1200 km/s. Ringwald and Naylor (1996) measured the velocity 9 years after outburst from the structure of the $H\alpha$ /[N II] $\lambda 6584$ profile, and found a much lower value of 470 ± 60 km/s. We associate the shell found by HST with the material expanding with 470 km/s, and the more extended shell with the “fuzz” that expands with 1200 km/s, and obtain a consistent distance of 1.8 ± 0.05 kpc. Previous distances estimates (Duerbeck et al. (1984), Saizar et al. (1991), Andreä et al. (1991) and Ringwald and Naylor (1996)) place PW Vul at a distance of 1.2, 1.5-3.0, 1.3, and 1.6 kpc. The first three determinations use techniques other than expansion parallax (e.g. interstellar reddening measurements), while Ringwald and Naylor (1996) adopted a small

expansion velocity, in combination with an underestimated shell size, to derive a result which is, nevertheless, in agreement with the others.

We adopt a distance of 1.8 ± 0.05 kpc, and an interstellar extinction $A_V = 1.73 \pm 0.32$ (Andreä 1991). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -6.7 \pm 0.4$, $M_{V,15} = -5.3$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.50$.

3.2.8. *QU Vul (1984)*

QU Vul was a moderately fast nova of the ONeMg group, which was well studied from the X-ray to the radio. From the visual light curve from the AFOEV archive, we derive a maximum magnitude $m_V = 5.6$, decline times $t_2 = 22$ and $t_3 = 49$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 6.75$. Radio observations by Taylor et al. (1987) first detected the shell about one year after outburst, and yielded a diameter of $0''.23 \times 0''.13$ at an age of 497 days. The first reports of an optical shell were presented by Della Valle et al. (1997), who detected a larger radial profile for the nova than for field stars in $H\alpha$, while a continuum image showed no differences. Those authors derived a diameter for the shell of $1''.90$ in 1994. Shin et al. (1998) obtained infrared images of the object in 1996, and found a shell diameter of $0''.8$ at $2.2 \mu\text{m}$, again by comparing the radial profile of the nova with field stars. Data was obtained in 1998 with the *Hubble Space Telescope* using both the WFPC2 (program 7386) and NICMOS (for details see Belle et al. (1999) – program 7381). Our measurements of that data reveal shell sizes of $1''.6 \times 1''.3$ at $2.2 \mu\text{m}$ and $1''.6 \times 1''.7$ (a bright, filled-in ring with an average surface brightness of $9.20 \times 10^{-14} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$) at $H\alpha$. Our $H\alpha$ image, shown in Figure 8, reveals a clearly resolved, circular shell of size $5''.6$ and an average surface brightness of $1.32 \times 10^{-14} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$. The shell may be weakly seen in the [O III] data, with an average surface brightness of $4.19 \times 10^{-15} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ arcsec}^{-2}$; the radial profile plot clearly shows the presence of the shell. The gross discrepancies in the expansion rates between our data (based on a resolved shell) and previous data (based on radial profiles) may indicate an over-correction for the intrinsic PSF (hence an underestimation of the shell size) when determining shell sizes based on profiles. As noted by Wade et al. (2000), the measurement technique of Shin et al. (1998) is adequate for demonstrating the existence of a shell, but it is not appropriate for determining the shell size, as the technique incorrectly assumes a gaussian profile for the shell, and fails to account for light from the central star. The large discrepancy between the ground-based and HST data may be caused by the fact that the first method traces extended, rapidly moving, low-surface brightness material, while the second one traces dense, clumpy, slowly moving material near the central object.

The expansion velocity was measured shortly after outburst to be 790 km/s (Rosino and Iijima 1987) and 1200 km/s (Taylor et al. 1987). A study of the profiles of the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and $H\alpha$ emission lines in early July, 1985, by Andreä (1991) yielded FWHM values of 1700 and 1360 km/s, respectively (his FWZI is ~ 3000 km/s, the width of the flat-topped section of the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ line 1140 km/s). Della Valle et al. (1997) derived a FWZI value of 1190 ± 105 km/s in 1994.

Previous distances estimates, based on expansion parallaxes, place QU Vul at distances of 3.6 and 2.6 kpc, respectively, (Taylor et al. 1987; Della Valle et al. 1997), while photometric and reddening techniques yield distances of 3.0 kpc (Gehrz et al. 1985), 1.2 kpc (Bergner et al.

1985), and 1.6 kpc (Andreä, Drechsel, and Starrfield 1994). Based on an expansion velocity of 1500 km/s (the fastest-moving material), we derive a distance, based on our observations only, of 1.5 kpc. If the shell-structure of the HST observations is associated with the material that formed the flat-topped structure in the lines observed by Andreä (1991), a distance of 2.0 kpc is derived. Averaging these results leads to $d = 1.75 \pm 0.25$ kpc, in reasonable agreement with estimates based on other methods ($d = 1.9 \pm 0.5$ kpc).

With a distance of 1.75 kpc, and interstellar extinction $E_{B-V} = 0.60$ (Andreä 1991), the absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -7.5 \pm 0.25$, $M_{V,15} = -6.35$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.20$.

3.2.9. V1819 Cyg (1986)

V1819 Cyg was a slow nova that has been little studied; see Whitney and Clayton (1989) for observations of the nova in the first two years after outburst. The light curve has been constructed by Whitney and Clayton (1989), who give $m_V(\text{max}) = 8.5 \pm 0.1$; their $(B - V)$ value appears to be not reliable. They derived times $t_2 = 21 - 59$ days and $t_3 = 87 - 107$ days, respectively, although other authors give different values. We have evaluated the AFOEV visual light curve, and derive $m_V = 8.7$ at maximum, $t_2 = 37$ and $t_3 = 89$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 9.9$. While no ground-based imaging observations have been reported, a WFPC2 observation (program 7386) in the F658N ([N II] $\lambda 6583$) filter reveals a marginally resolved shell with a diameter of $0''.5$. Our ground-based observations are unable to resolve a shell of that size. Szkody (1994) has published a finding chart for the object, but our images (see Figure 9) have resolved the Szkody object into two stars, with the nova being the southeastern object.

From low-resolution spectral scans, Whitney and Clayton (1989) derived “velocity widths” of 1200 ± 200 km/s. Andrillat and Houziaux (1989) made spectroscopic observations at moderate dispersion one month after maximum and observed wide emission lines with a “half-width” of 1400 km/s (which we interpret as “full width at half maximum”), with the principal and diffuse-enhanced absorption components at -650 and -1450 km/s.

Whitney and Clayton (1989) find a reddening $E_{B-V} = 0.35 \pm 0.15$, and an absolute magnitude at maximum $M_V = -7.3 \pm 0.4$ from various relationships between maximum magnitude and speed of decline, and derive a distance of $8.7^{+2.8}_{-2.1}$ kpc. If we assume, in agreement with Andrillat and Houziaux (1989), a $v_{\text{exp}} = 700$ km/s for the bulk of material, we derive a distance of 7.4 kpc, in good agreement with the above result. This yields an absolute magnitude $M_V = -6.8 \pm 0.5$, $M_{V,15} = -5.6$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.90$, with the error based on the uncertainty of the extinction only. The much larger value $A_V = 2.35 \pm 0.95$ from the galaxy extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997) would increase the brightness by $1^{\text{m}}25$.

3.2.10. V842 Cen (1986)

V842 Cen was a moderately fast nova ($t_2 = 35$ days, $t_3 = 48$ days, (Sekiguchi et al. 1989; Andreä, Drechsel, and Starrfield 1994)), which showed a dust-forming event. Observations by Gill and O’Brien (1998) revealed a shell of diameter $1''.6$ ($\text{H}\alpha$ /[N II]) in 1995. Our $\text{H}\alpha$ image,

shown in Figure 10, reveals an incomplete, circular shell of size $5''.6 \times 6''.0$, with an average surface brightness of 1.11×10^{-12} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$. The shell is also seen in the [O III] data with an average surface brightness of 1.16×10^{-13} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$.

The expansion velocity was measured by Sekiguchi et al. (1989) after recovery from the dust-forming event in May 1988. The H lines showed a saddle-shaped structure, with the two peaks at -630 and $+440$ km/s. The line profiles showed broad wings which extended to ± 2000 km/s (HWZI). A study of the emission lines of [O III] $\lambda 5007$, [N II] $\lambda 6584$ and $H\alpha$ by Andreä (1991) over the years 1988–1991 yielded a ‘castellated’ profile, whose initially broad wings faded, while two maxima at about -500 and $+550$ km/s sharpened over that time interval. A high-resolution spectrum of [O III] $\lambda 5007$, obtained on 1988 July 17, is shown in Seitter (1990). Its maxima are at -590 and $+430$. The expansion velocity of the dense regions of the shell is thus ~ 525 km/s, while low-density material with $v_{\text{exp}} = 2000$ km/s is also present.

Based on an expansion velocity of 525 km/s, Gill and O’Brien (1998) derived a distance of 1.3 ± 0.5 kpc. The size of the shell in our data is inconsistent with the size in Gill and O’Brien (1998), and our distance of 0.42 kpc falls way below their value. The reddening-based distances of 0.9 kpc (Sekiguchi et al. 1989) and 1.0 kpc (Andreä, Drechsel, and Starrfield 1994) tend to favor the larger distance. If we assume that the value of Gill and O’Brien (1998) is correct, the expansion velocity of the shell observed by us is 1600 km/s, in reasonable agreement with the velocity of the material that caused the broad wings observed in the first years following the outburst.

Andreä (1991) gave a light curve with a maximum magnitude $m_V = 4.6$, and $E_{B-V} = 0.55 \pm 0.05$; $m_{V,15}$ is ~ 5.5 . A somewhat revised expansion rate and velocity of the shell observed by Gill and O’Brien (1998) leads to a distance of 1.15 kpc, and the absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -7.4^{+1.2}_{-0.8}$ (using the error estimate of the above authors), and $M_{V,15} = -6.5$, with the same error bars. The nova has not yet returned to minimum light.

3.2.11. QV Vul (1987)

QV Vul was a moderately fast nova, which was studied spectroscopically by Andreä, Drechsel, and Starrfield (1994). The AFOEV visual light curve yields $m_V = 7.0$ at maximum, $t_2 = 50$ days, $t_3 = 53$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 7.95$. While no ground-based imaging observations have been reported, data was obtained in 1998 with the *Hubble Space Telescope* using both the WFPC2 (program 7386) and NICMOS (program 7381). Our measurements of that data reveal shell sizes of $1''.4 \times 1''.4$ at $2.2 \mu\text{m}$, and $0''.8 \times 0''.9$ at [N II] $\lambda 6583$ (with an average surface brightness of 1.15×10^{-13} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$). We failed to detect a shell in our ground-based images (to 3σ limiting surface brightnesses of 8.69×10^{-16} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$ at $H\alpha$ and 1.11×10^{-15} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$ at [O III]). There is no published finding chart of the quiescent object, so we show, in Figure 9, our $H\alpha$ on-band and off-band images, which clearly identify the nova.

An analysis of the shapes of [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and [N II] $\lambda 6584$, observed in 1989 by Andreä (1991), yields expansion (HWHM) velocities of ± 500 and ± 530 , respectively. Based on an averaged HWHM velocity of the [O III] $\lambda 5007$ and [N II] $\lambda 6584$ lines, 515 km/s, we derive a distance to QV Vul of 2.7 kpc.

Andreä (1991) gave a value of $E_{B-V} = 0.40$. For a distance of 2.7 kpc, the absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -6.4$, $M_{V,15} = -5.45$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.20$.

3.2.12. V351 Pup (1991)

V351 Pup was a moderately fast nova which reached a maximum of at least $m_v = 6.4$, with $t_2 = 10$ days, $t_3 = 26$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 8.8$, as based on the AAVSO visual light curve (Mattei 1999). Its outburst was studied in detail as part of the Tololo Nova Survey (Williams, Phillips, and Hamuy 1994). A marginal ground-based detection of a shell (diameter $0''.58$) was reported by Orio et al. (1996). Data was obtained in 1998 with the *Hubble Space Telescope* using the WFPC2 (program 7386), and our measurement of that data reveals a $H\alpha$ shell $1''.3$ in diameter, and an average surface brightness of 2.87×10^{-14} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$. Our ground-based observations, shown in Figure 11, do not show any indication of a shell in the PSF-subtracted images. However, in the radial profile, the $H\alpha$ data does show that the nova (FWHM = 4.37 pixels) is broader than field stars (3.49 ± 0.23 pixels), while the off-band image does not show any broadening of the nova profile.

The expansion velocity quoted by Orio et al. (1996), 2000 km/s, is based on IUE spectra. A detailed analysis of the Mg II 2800 line, based on high-resolution IUE spectra, resulted in an expansion velocity of 1200 km/s (Pachoulakis and Saizar 1995).

The expansion rate is about $0''.100 \pm 0''.003$ /yr, based on the ground-based and HST observations. If the expansion velocity of the Mg II 2800 line is assumed to be representative for the shell, a distance of 2.7 ± 0.2 kpc is derived; the higher velocities quoted by Orio et al. (1996) would lead to a distance around 4 kpc.

Maximum light is poorly covered by observations. Saizar et al. (1996) derived a reddening $E_{B-V} = 0.72 \pm 0.10$ from recombination lines. The distance of 2.7 kpc leads to $M_V = -8.0 \pm 0.4$, while the distance of 4 kpc (Orio et al. 1996) results in $M_V = -8.9 \pm 0.4$; the values of $M_{V,15}$ are -5.6 and -6.5 , respectively. We will accept the average values as uncertain, but reasonable, estimates. The nova has not yet returned to minimum light.

3.2.13. V1974 Cyg (1992)

V1974 Cyg was a moderately fast nova, which has been extensively studied. The AFOEV visual light curve yields $m_v = 4.4$ at maximum, $t_2 = 17$ days, $t_3 = 37$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 6.0$. The first report of a visible shell was by Paresce (1994), who used the Faint Object Camera (FOC) on *Hubble Space Telescope* 467 days after outburst to detect a circular, inhomogeneous ring of diameter $0''.26$ in the F278M (Mg II) filter; this observation was further analyzed by Paresce et al. (1995) and they found the shell to be $0''.22 \times 0''.28$ in size. Further FOC observations (Paresce et al. 1995) at [O III] $\lambda 5007$ (F501N) were obtained at 690 and 818 days after outburst, and revealed shells sizes of $0''.30 \times 0''.42$ and $0''.36 \times 0''.50$, respectively. Rosino et al. (1996) detected extended $H\alpha$ emission around the object ($3'-4'$) in 1994-1995, which they attribute to excitation of the interstellar medium by UV photons from the outburst. Our $H\alpha$ image, shown in Figure 12,

reveals a complete, circular shell of size $8''.6 \times 9''.0$, with an average surface brightness of 3.66×10^{-14} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$. The shell is also seen in the [O III] data, with an average surface brightness of 7.21×10^{-15} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$. Further *Hubble Space Telescope* observations were obtained in 1998 using both the WFPC2 (program 7386) and NICMOS (program 7381). Our measurements of that data reveal shell sizes of $1''.4 \times 1''.3$ at $2.2 \mu\text{m}$, and $1''.8 \times 1''.7$ (a bright ring with an average surface brightness of 5.68×10^{-14} ergs cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ arcsec $^{-2}$) at H α .

The expansion velocity for V1974 Cyg was determined by several authors to be 1100 km/s (Chochol et al. 1997), 1500 km/s (Shore et al. 1993), 830-895 km/s (Chochol et al. 1995), and 700-950 km/s (Rosino et al. 1996).

Previous distances estimates, based on expansion parallaxes from early radio (Hjellming 1994) and *Hubble Space Telescope* observations (Paresce (1994), Paresce et al. (1995)), place V1974 Cyg at a distance of ~ 2.6 kpc. These observations were re-analyzed by Chochol et al. (1997), who revised the distance estimates downward to $1.7 - 1.9$ kpc, using expansion parallaxes based on HST and radio data, and making them more compatible with a distance of 1.8 kpc derived from other techniques (Chochol et al. (1993), Rosino et al. (1996)). Based on an expansion velocity of 1070 km/s (which is the average value of the expansion velocities given in the previous paragraph), we derive a distance for the *Hubble Space Telescope* data of 2.0 kpc. However, if we use our ground-based observations, where we see a clearly resolved shell, we derive a distance of only 310 pc.

This discrepancy can be removed in the same way as in the case of V842 Cen and other objects. The ground-based observations record faint, fast-moving material, while the HST frames record the bulk of dense clouds that also show up as maxima in the emission lines. If we assume a distance of 1.8 kpc, the extended shell corresponds to an expansion velocity of 5700 km/s. The maximum expansion velocity seen in early P Cygni lines of Mg II was 4500 km/s (Shore et al. 1993). The experimentum crucis would be to carry out spatially resolved spectroscopy of the extended optical shell to see the velocity splitting.

We will adopt a distance of 1.8 ± 0.1 kpc, based on Chochol’s detailed study, and an $E_{B-V} = 0.35$ (Paresce et al. 1995), so that $M_V = -8.0 \pm 0.1$, and $M_{V,15} = -6.4$. The nova has not yet returned to minimum light.

3.2.14. HY Lup (1993)

HY Lup was discovered as a star of magnitude $V \sim 8$ by Liller (1993), and has been little studied since. A spectrum taken by the discoverer indicated that the nova was already some days after maximum. Photometry from the AAVSO archives (Mattei 1999) reveals $t_3 > 25$ days. While no ground-based observations have been reported since shortly after outburst, a WFPC2 observation (program 7386) in the F656N (H α) filter reveals a marginally resolved shell with a diameter of $0''.5$. Our ground-based observations are unable to resolve a shell of that size. There is no published finding chart of the quiescent object, so we show, in Figure 9, our H α on-band and off-band images, which clearly identify the nova.

The expansion velocity for HY Lup was measured immediately after discovery by Della Valle (1993) to be 2700 km/s. A spectrum taken three years after outburst shows the object

in the nebular stage; the emission lines of $H\beta$, [O I] and [O III] appear double-peaked, with a separation of $\sim \pm 800$ km/s and a FWHM of 340 km/s of the single components (Duerbeck and Zwitter 2000).

There are no previous distances estimates for HY Lup. Based on an expansion velocity of 400 km/s, we derive a distance of 1.8 kpc. This estimate is based solely on *Hubble Space Telescope* data, under the assumption that it shows slow-moving material. If the *Hubble Space Telescope* data also images fainter, faster material, a larger velocity (up to 2700 km/s) would result in a much larger distance.

The galactic extinction model yields a value $A_V = 0.7 \pm 0.28$. The resulting absolute magnitude is $M_V = -4.0$, but the expansion velocity and the apparent magnitude may both be lower limits, so that the absolute magnitude is likely some magnitudes brighter. The nova has not yet returned to minimum light. It cannot be used for maximum or minimum luminosity determinations, unless additional observations become available.

3.2.15. CP Cru (1996)

CP Cru was a fast nova ($t_2 \sim 4$ days, based on data from VSNET), which showed strong He II emission (Della Valle and Benetti 1996), making it a member of the He/N class (Williams 1992). Its maximum observed magnitude was $m_V = 9.25$, but true maximum may easily have been missed. While no ground-based imaging observations have been reported, data was obtained in 1998 with the *Hubble Space Telescope* using the WFPC2 (program 7386), and our measurement of that data reveals a $H\alpha$ shell with a size $0''.6 \times 0''.6$. Our ground-based observations are unable to resolve a shell of that size. There is no published finding chart of the quiescent object, so we show, in Figure 9, our $H\alpha$ on-band and off-band images, which clearly identify the nova.

The expansion velocity for CP Cru has measured shortly after outburst by Della Valle and Benetti (1996) to be ~ 2000 km/s.

There are no previous distances estimate for CP Cru. Based on the expansion velocity of 2000 km/s, a distance of 3.2 kpc is derived. As with HY Lup (also with only *Hubble Space Telescope* data), this distance may be an overestimate to the true value.

The galactic extinction model yields a value $A_V = 1.98 \pm 0.74$. The resulting absolute magnitude is $M_V = -5.3$, which is also an indication that the true maximum was missed. The nova has not yet returned to minimum light. It cannot be used for maximum or minimum luminosity determinations, unless additional observations become available.

3.3. Objects with no shell detected

We failed to detect a shell around 15 objects, which are listed in Table 4. Three of the objects (GQ Mus, V827 Her, and V838 Her) were also observed with WFPC2 (program 7386), and no shell was detected. A shell around GQ Mus was also not detected in ground-based data by Gill and O’Brien (1998).

Four objects — V868 Cen, BY Cir, V4361 Sgr, and N Sco 97 — do not have published finding charts, and we show, in Figure 13, our H α on-band and off-band images, which clearly identify the quiescent object. Robertson, Honeycutt and Henden (1999) have identified a new candidate for V2104 Oph based on positional coincidence (the field in the atlas of Duerbeck (1987b) is blank), and our image (Figure 13) shows a faint ($V = 20.50$) object, slightly H α bright, at the nominal position (which is identical to the Robertson, Honeycutt and Henden (1999) candidate); the coordinates of our candidate are $\alpha_{2000} = 18^{\text{h}}03^{\text{m}}25^{\text{s}}.01$ and $\delta_{2000} = +11^{\circ}47'51''.1$ as measured from our image.

V888 Cen does not have a published chart, while Duerbeck (1987b) identifies candidates for V3645 Sgr and N Car 1972. Our observations of V888 Cen reveal that the marked object (the southern object of a close pair) is H α bright, while for the latter two objects, there are no H α -bright candidates (see Figure 13). Our observations of N Car 1971 (not shown) show a blank field at the nominal position of the object. However, examination of archival material (MacConnell 1999) shows that the object was not detected on a direct plate obtained 2 days after the discovery spectrum. Thus, this object is likely an emulsion defect, and also calls into question the reality of N Car 1972, which was found in a similar manner to N Car 1971.

4. Speed class and shape

Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) noted a correlation (at the 99% confidence level) between speed class (t_3) and the axial ratio of nova shells. Such a correlation is not unexpected, as the effects of common-envelope evolution thought to occur during outbursts can remove varying amounts of angular momentum from the system depending on the length of time spent in this phase. We have added our dataset to that of Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) (for the three objects in common, we have averaged our ratio with theirs), and show, in Figure 14, the merged plot. We have fit a second-order polynomial to the data, and find that the data is correlated at the 98% level. However, the fit is driven by 3 objects (DQ Her, T Aur, and RR Pic), so the reality of the correlation is still quite speculative.

5. Absolute magnitudes of novae

5.1. Generalities

In the previous section, distances to several well-investigated and new novae, and their absolute magnitudes, were derived. We can use these absolute magnitudes, in conjunction with the t_2 and t_3 times, to investigate a maximum magnitude-rate of decline (MMRD) relationship. There is no generally accepted light curve decline parameter; some authors prefer t_2 , to extend the MMRD to faint, extragalactic novae, but the fluctuations of the light curve at maximum can easily reach 2^{m} . The value of t_3 is much more stable in this respect. Nevertheless, we will derive MMRD relations for both parameters.

Another difference is the use of the V (or visual) or the B (or photographic) light curve to derive the value of t_i . Since novae are reddest at maximum, $t_{i,B}$ should be larger than $t_{i,V}$. This is generally the case, but the general uncertainty of pinning down the time and magnitude

of maximum is so large that errors in using the “wrong” color band are almost negligible. Since visual or V magnitudes are nowadays more common than blue magnitudes (which were in the past often derived from photographic sky surveys), we will restrict our analysis on V magnitudes (see also Section 5.3).

5.2. Additional objects used for the study

We have enhanced our sample of objects by adding expansion parallax results from other authors. For these objects, a critical evaluation on assumed light curve data, expansion velocities and extinction values was made.

5.2.1. *T Aur (1892)*

The most complete light curve of T Aur is based on Harvard photographs, which show $m_{\text{pg}} = 4.2$ at maximum, $t_2 = 45$ days, $t_3 = 50$ days, and $m_{\text{pg},15} = 4.6$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 0.96 ± 0.22 kpc, and Gilmozzi, Selvelli and Cassatella (1994) derived an A_V value of 0.64. The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_{\text{pg}} = -6.6 \pm 0.5$, $M_{\text{pg},15} = -6.2$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.50$.

5.2.2. *GK Per (1901)*

The visual light curve was compiled by Campbell (1903): $m_v = 0.19$ at maximum, $t_2 = 7$ days, $t_3 = 13$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 3.35$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 0.455 ± 0.03 kpc, and Wu et al. (1989) derived an E_{B-V} value of 0.3 ± 0.05 . The absolute magnitude is $M_V = -9.05 \pm 0.3$, $M_{V,15} = -5.9$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 3.75$.

5.2.3. *V603 Aql (1918)*

The brightest nova of the 20th century reached $m_v = -1.1$ at maximum. A detailed visual light curve (Campbell 1919) gives single data, and different averaged data points. These yield different decline rates, and we adopt here $m_v = -1.1$ at maximum, $t_2 = 4$ days, $t_3 = 9$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 2.9$. A value of E_{B-V} of 0.07 ± 0.03 was derived by Verbunt (1987). The expansion rate was determined by Duerbeck (1987a) to be $1''.09/\text{yr}$, with an indication that the rate decreases with time. With an expansion velocity between 1700 ± 100 km/s, a distance of 0.33 kpc is derived. The absolute magnitude is $M_v = -8.9 \pm 0.2$, $M_{v,15} = -4.9$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 3.90$.

5.2.4. *V476 Cyg (1920)*

The nova reached at maximum $m_v = 2.0$. A light curve by Zakharov (1953) yields $t_2 = 6$ days, $t_3 = 15$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 5.0$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 1.62 ± 0.12 kpc, and a value of $A_V = 0.82 \pm 0.36$ was calculated from the galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_v = -9.9 \pm 0.6$, $M_{v,15} = -6.9$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.85$.

5.2.5. *RR Pic (1925)*

The visual light curve, compiled by Spencer Jones (1931), reached $m_v = 1.18$, and has $t_2 = 20$ days, $t_3 = 127$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 3.0$. Gill and O’Brien (1998) derived a distance of 0.60 ± 0.06 kpc from the ring geometry and projection effects of the velocities. They assume an inclination angle of 70° , and from the projected velocity of ± 400 km, they arrive at an intrinsic velocity of 850 km/s.

We derived a projected velocity of 405 km/s, an expansion rate of $0''.157/\text{yr}$, and at a distance of 0.58 kpc, in good agreement with Gill and O’Brien (1998). Verbunt (1987) derived an E_{B-V} value of 0.05 ± 0.03 . The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_v = -7.8 \pm 0.30$, $M_{v,15} = -6.0$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 3.55$.

5.2.6. *XX Tau (1927)*

The photographic light curve, based on Harvard photographs, reached $m_{\text{pg}} = 6.0$, and has $t_2 = 24$ days, $t_3 = 43$ days, and $m_{\text{pg},15} = 7.4$. Cohen (1985) derived an expansion rate of $0''.039/\text{yr}$, and estimated an expansion velocity of 650 km/s, which yield a distance of 3.5 kpc. A value of $A_V = 1.26 \pm 0.57$ was derived from the galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_{\text{pg}} = -8.4 \pm 0.75$, and $M_{\text{pg},15} = -7.0$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.60$.

5.2.7. *DQ Her (1934)*

A visual light curve, compiled by Kukarkin and Gitz (1937), reached $m_v = 1.49$, and has $t_2 = 39$ days, $t_3 = 86$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 2.5$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 0.40 ± 0.06 kpc. A careful study of Herbig and Smak (1992), based on nebular slit spectra taken in the 1970s, yields a distance of 0.56 ± 0.02 kpc, while other recent determinations, as quoted by Herbig and Smak (1992), yield values near 0.48 ± 0.05 kpc. We adopt the result of Herbig and Smak (1992). Verbunt (1987) derived an E_{B-V} value of 0.1 ± 0.05 , which is based on the strength of the $2200\text{\AA}$ band and is a good average of other determinations. The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -7.5 \pm 0.3$, $M_{V,15} = -6.55$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.30$.

5.2.8. *CP Lac (1936)*

A visual light curve, compiled by Bertaud (1945), reached $m_v = 2.0$, and has $t_2 = 5.3$ days, $t_3 = 9.8$ days, and $m_{v,15} = 5.6$. Cohen and Rosenthal (1983) derived a distance of 1.35 kpc, while Gilmozzi, Selvelli and Cassatella (1994) derived an A_V value of 0.64. The galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997) yields a value which is three times higher. The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -9.3$, $M_{V,15} = -5.7$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.50$. All these values might be brighter by more than 1^m if the extinction value based on UV spectroscopy is in error.

5.2.9. *BT Mon (1939)*

Maximum light was missed for this object. Gill and O’Brien (1998) derived a distance of 1.8 ± 0.3 kpc, in perfect agreement with an earlier result by Marsh, Oke and Wade (1983). Gilmozzi, Selvelli and Cassatella (1994) derived a value of $A_V = 0.48$. The observed maximum magnitude is $M_{pg} = -3.4 \pm 0.35$, confirming the fact that the nova was discovered long after maximum light. The absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 3.85$.

5.2.10. *V450 Cyg (1942)*

A photographic light curve is based on observations of Ahnert, which are scattered over various issues of the Beobachtungs-Zirkular of the Astronomische Nachrichten. It reached $m_{pg} = 7.5$, and has $t_2 = 88$ days, $t_3 = 108$ days, and $m_{pg,15} \sim 8.1$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 3.5 ± 0.8 kpc, and a value of $A_V = 1.3 \pm 0.4$ is based on the galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_{pg} = -6.5 \pm 0.6$, $M_{pg,15} = -5.9 \pm 0.6$. The magnitude at minimum is uncertain ($\sim 19^m$), and the absolute magnitude is about +5.

5.2.11. *V500 Aql (1943)*

A photographic light curve, based on Sonneberg and Harvard plates, peaks at $m_{pg,max} = 6.4$, and has $t_2 = 17$, $t_3 = 42$ days, and $m_{pg,15} = 8.3$. The nebular expansion parallax of Cohen (1985) yields a distance of 5.9 kpc, and a value $A_V = 0.94 \pm 0.30$ is based on the galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_{pg} = -8.7 \pm 0.4$, $M_{pg,15} = -6.8$. Szkody (1994) derived $V = 19.28$ as minimum magnitude, the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.50$.

This nova fits nicely into the MMRD relations (see below). Cohen (1985) had assumed an A_V of 3.0 ± 1.5 , which is unlikely because of the fairly blue color of the postnova. Her result, $M_V = -10.35$, was taken by Della Valle (1991) as evidence for including it in a hypothetical class of super-bright novae.

5.2.12. *DK Lac (1950)*

A photographic light curve is based on observations by Larsson-Leander (1954). Maximum is poorly covered: an observation of $m_{\text{pg}} = 6.6$ belongs to the rising branch of the light curve. Two days later, a poor observation gave $m_{\text{pg}} = 5.35$, and in the following days, the nova declined smoothly by $0^{\text{m}}2/\text{day}$. Fitting the light curve of a fast nova through the points leads to a maximum magnitude $m_{\text{pg}} = 5.2$. The light curve has $t_2 = 11$ days, $t_3 = 24$ days, and $m_{\text{pg},15} = 7.4$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 3.9 ± 0.5 kpc, in good agreement with Cohen (1985), and a value of $A_V = 1.4 \pm 0.4$ was derived from the galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_{\text{pg}} = -9.6 \pm 0.5$, $M_{\text{pg},15} = -7.4 \pm 0.5$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 2.40 \pm 0.6$. The nova reached a maximum of about $M_v = -9.85 \pm 0.5$.

5.2.13. *V446 Her (1960)*

A photographic light curve, compiled by Bertaud (1962), reached $m_{\text{pg}} = 3.0$ on 1960 March 4. Outburst maximum is poorly covered by observations; according to Bertaud (1962), spectroscopic evidence indicates that the real maximum of 2.50 ± 0.17 was reached on March 1. This assumption yields $t_2 = 7$ days, $t_3 = 11.5$ days, and $m_{\text{pg},15} = 5.85$.

Cohen (1985) derived a distance of 1.35 kpc, and a value of $A_V = 1.12$ was derived by Gilmozzi, Selvelli and Cassatella (1994). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_{\text{pg}} = -9.6 \pm 0.2$, $M_{\text{pg},15} = -6.5$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.85$ (quiescent light is superimposed by dwarf-nova like outbursts).

5.2.14. *V533 Her (1963)*

A photographic observation near maximum has $m_{\text{pv}} = 3.0$. Follow-on observations were compiled by Almár and Illés-Almár (1966), and we derive $t_2 = 22$ days, $t_3 = 46$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 4.4$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 0.56 ± 0.07 kpc, based on a $v_{\text{exp}} = 580$ km/s as given by Cohen and Rosenthal (1983). Cohen (1985), using $v_{\text{exp}} = 1050$ km/s, as determined from outburst spectra, derived a distance of 1.32 kpc. Since nebular expansion velocities may suffer inclination effects (see our discussion for CP Pup), the latter value appears more likely. Indeed recent observations by Gill and O’Brien (2000) found a present-day $v_{\text{exp}} = 850 \pm 150$ km/s and a new distance estimate of 1.25 ± 0.30 kpc. Verbunt (1987) derived an E_{B-V} value of 0.0 ± 0.04 , and Gilmozzi, Selvelli and Cassatella (1994) a value $A_V = 0$. The new results lead to an absolute magnitude at maximum $M_V = -7.5^{+0.60}_{-0.45}$, $M_{V,15} = -6.1$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.60$.

5.2.15. *LV Vul (1968)*

A photoelectric light curve was compiled by Duerbeck (1981). The nova reached $m_V = 4.6$, and has $t_2 = 21$ days, $t_3 = 43$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 5.75$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 0.92 ± 0.08 kpc, and a value of $A_V = 1.75 \pm 0.42$ was derived from the

galactic extinction model of Hakkila et al. (1997). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -7.0 \pm 0.45$, $M_{V,15} = -5.85$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 4.35$.

5.2.16. V1229 Aql (1970)

A light curve was published by Ciatti and Rosino (1974). Maximum was approximately $m_V = 6.5$, $t_2 = 20$ days, $t_3 = 38$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 8.3$ for the photographic V light curve. Della Valle and Duerbeck (1993) analyzed the stellar profile and the structure of the $H\alpha + [N II] \lambda 6584$ -blend, and derived an annual expansion rate of $0''.072 \pm 0''.007/\text{yr}$, an expansion velocity of 750 km/s, an average E_{B-V} value of 0.50 ± 0.08 , and a distance of 2.1 ± 0.9 kpc. The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -6.7^{+1.1}_{-0.8}$, $M_{V,15} = -4.9$. Since the postnova is a close optical triple star, no accurate absolute magnitude at minimum can be given.

5.2.17. FH Ser (1970)

A photoelectric light curve was compiled by Duerbeck (1996). The nova reached $m_V = 4.6$, and has $t_2 = 42$ days, $t_3 = 59$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 5.45$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 0.92 ± 0.13 kpc, in good agreement with determinations of 0.87 ± 0.09 kpc (Della Valle et al. (1997)) and 0.85 ± 0.05 kpc (Duerbeck (1992)). New HST and ground-based observations (Gill and O’Brien 2000) yield a distance of 0.95 ± 0.05 kpc. Adopting this result and the $E_{B-V} = 0.64 \pm 0.16$ of Della Valle et al. (1997), we arrive at an absolute magnitude at maximum of $M_V = -7.3 \pm 0.5$, $M_{V,15} = -6.45$, and the absolute magnitude at minimum is $M_V = 5.05$.

5.2.18. V1500 Cyg (1975)

A photoelectric light curve was compiled by Duerbeck (1996). The nova reached $m_V = 1.78$, and has $t_2 = 2.35$ days, $t_3 = 3.7$ days, and $m_{V,15} = 5.22$. Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995) derived a distance of 1.5 ± 0.2 kpc, which is in good agreement with a previous estimate by Wade et al. (1991). The $E_{B-V} = 0.50 \pm 0.05$ was taken from Lance, McCall and Uomoto (1988). The absolute magnitude at maximum is $M_V = -10.7 \pm 0.5$, $M_{V,15} = -5.5$, and the absolute magnitude at postoutburst minimum is $M_V = 4.95$.

5.3. The Maximum Magnitude-Rate of Decline Relationship

The results of the preceding sections are summarized in Table 5. Some novae are only well-observed photographically, and the $m_{pg,max}$ and $m_{pg,15}$ need to be transformed into the V system. van den Bergh and Younger (1987) find that novae at maximum have an intrinsic $\langle B-V \rangle_0 = +0.23 \pm 0.06$. A sample of nine objects with trustworthy reddening indicates a similar value, $\langle B-V \rangle_0 = +0.25 \pm 0.05$. The very fast nova V1500 Cyg is much bluer at maximum (+0.05), but the objects considered here are all fairly slow, and were corrected using the value 0^m25 . Since novae become bluer in later stages, we have assumed $M_{V,15} = M_{pg,15}$.

Table 5 also give the spectroscopic types of novae according to the classification of Williams (1992) (He/N, Fe II, and “hybrid” objects marked as Fe/He/N), the light curve types (A, Ao, B, C, D), as defined by Duerbeck (1981), and mentions, for some recent objects, whether an object is a ONeMg nova.

The MMRD relations are shown in Figures 15, 16, and 17. Most of the fast, bright objects with smooth “A-type” light curves are He/N novae or hybrid objects, i.e. Fe II novae that evolve into He/N novae. The three fastest pure Fe II novae are V476 Cyg, DK Lac and V351 Pup; they also show type A light curves, but their t_3 times are between 15 and 30 days, larger than those of He/N or hybrid novae ($t_3 < 15$ days). There appears to be no luminosity difference between the He/N novae and the Fe II novae, the only possible feature seems to be the relative faintness of the ‘hybrid’ objects relative to the ‘pure’ ones. All novae with structured light curves (types B,C,D) have $t_3 > 30$ days, and they are, likely without exception, Fe II novae.

The ONeMg novae are thought to originate on massive white dwarfs, although their luminosities appear to be consistent than the other novae of a comparable rate of decline. The three objects in our diagram show light curve types A or B, and their t_3 times lie between 25 and 50 days.

If one sticks to the “classical” MMRD relations which hold for the ensemble of points, the following statements can be made:

Using the t_3 time as the lightcurve parameter, the relation appears almost linear,

$$M_V = (-11.99 \pm 0.56) + (2.54 \pm 0.35) \log t_3.$$

A similar relation results when using the t_2 time as the lightcurve parameter:

$$M_V = (-11.32 \pm 0.44) + (2.55 \pm 0.32) \log t_2.$$

In both cases, the residual for a single object is about 0^m6 , and a linear equation appears to be a good fit to the data.

The most recent fit of this type is by Cohen (1985):

$$M_V = (-10.70 \pm 0.30) + (2.41 \pm 0.23) \log t_2$$

who, after selecting a “high quality sample” and correcting the other novae accordingly, was able to reduce the dispersion to 0^m47 . It should be noted that a restriction on a near, less reddened sample, or a correction of absolute magnitudes at maximum by assuming that $M_{V,15} = \text{const}$ for all objects, as done by Cohen, does not lead to a decisive improvement of the scatter in our data set, and thus we refrain from any correction which may introduce systematic effects. At an average $t_2 = 20$ days, Cohen’s calibration is 0^m44 fainter than ours.

Another classical relation uses a specific stretched S-shaped curve, which is apparent in samples of extragalactic novae. It was first suggested by van den Bergh and Pritchett (1986), and was recently revised by Della Valle and Livio (1995). We have adopted their turn-over point, but have re-determined the zero-point and the amplitude:

$$M_V = -8.02 - 1.23 \arctan \frac{1.32 - \log t_2}{0.23}.$$

Our zero-point is almost identical to that of Della Valle and Livio (1995), but the “contrast” between bright and faint novae has increased from $0^{\text{m}}81$ to $1^{\text{m}}23$. A possible explanation is that in the extragalactic sample of Della Valle and Livio (1995), very bright and fast novae of the V1500 Cyg and CP Pup-type appear fainter because of insufficient sampling time, and the faintest among the slow novae are missed (Malmquist bias).

Finally, it was shown by Buscombe and de Vaucouleurs (1955) that the absolute (pg) magnitude 15 days after outburst is similar for novae of all speed classes. Cohen (1985) derived for 11 objects $M_{V,15} = -5.60 \pm 0.45$ (s.e), while we obtain for 28 objects:

$$M_{V,15} = -6.05 \pm 0.44 \text{ (s.e),}$$

indicating a similar zero-point difference ($0^{\text{m}}45$) between Cohen’s and our sample. A regression shows that there is absolutely no trend in $M_{V,15}$ versus, e.g., t_3 -time, however, the standard deviation ($0^{\text{m}}7$) is quite large.

There is one more way to look at the MMRD relation, if one takes the light curve type as a second parameter. The dichotomy between the A/Ao “super-Eddington” and BCD “Eddington” groups, introduced in the investigation by Duerbeck (1981), appears well expressed. The (M_V, t_2) diagram can be divided in two fields: the A/Ao group has $M_V \leq 8^{\text{m}}$ and $\log t_2 < 1.20$, the BCD group has $M_V \geq 8^{\text{m}}$ and $\log t_2 \geq 1.20$ (two poorly investigated objects, V500 Aql and XX Tau, may have poorly determined luminosities and/or decline times, and are not considered in the following discussion). In the (M_V, t_3) diagram, the two groups are separated as well; the dividing line in time is now at $\log t_3 = 1.5$.

If one examines the “super-Eddington” and “Eddington” groups separately, MMRD relations are quite weakly expressed; in the M_V, t_3 diagram, the A/Ao group follows the relation

$$M_V = (-11.26 \pm 0.84) + (1.58 \pm 0.78) \log t_3$$

with a correlation coefficient of 0.61. The BCD group shows only a weak correlation coefficient of 0.21 between magnitude and decline time; $\langle M_V \rangle = -7.09 \pm 0.61$ (s.e.). A linear relation for these objects is:

$$M_V = (-8.13 \pm 1.26) + (0.57 \pm 0.68) \log t_3.$$

In the M_V, t_2 diagram, the A/Ao group follows the relation

$$M_V = (-10.79 \pm 0.92) + (1.53 \pm 1.15) \log t_2$$

with a correlation coefficient of 0.45, and the BCD group yields

$$M_V = (-8.71 \pm 0.82) + (1.03 \pm 0.51) \log t_2$$

with a correlation coefficient of 0.46 (of course, the $\langle M_V \rangle = -7.09$ is the same). Such a type of fit in two separate regions mimics the stretched S-shaped curve used for a fit of the complete sample, but in the present case we have a criterion (the light curve form) to separate two regions, and to use different linear relations (or simple averages) in them.

The overall scatter in the MMRD relation – which is of the order of $0^{\text{m}}5$ in all these relations – may indicate the presence of hidden second order parameters (spectroscopic type, light curve

type, mass of underlying white dwarf...) which should influence the luminosity. However, the individual errors are too large, so the sample is not able to indicate which parameter is most decisive. Thus, the MMRD relation can be used as a reliable distance indicator only in a statistical way; for a single object, the absolute magnitude can be off by $\sim 0^m.5$. If samples are taken from different stellar populations, systematic luminosity differences may be present. This does not devaluate the use of novae as distance indicators, it simply means that caution must be used when such relations are applied (similar problems occur in other distance indicators like supernovae, Cepheids, and RR Lyrae stars). A better understanding of the MMRD relation is also the basis of a better understanding of the physics of nova eruptions.

5.4. Novae at minimum

Another result of our study is the derivation of absolute magnitudes of classical novae after eruption. Table 6 lists the absolute visual magnitudes of novae, which have settled to a more or less constant minimum brightness. Some of the objects have known orbital periods and inclination angles; relevant data were taken from the catalog of Ritter and Kolb (1998), and these magnitudes M_V were corrected for inclination effects, using the formula given by Warner (1987); the corrected magnitudes M_V^{corr} are also listed. All novae for which no inclination information was available, were corrected by assuming an average inclination $i = 46^\circ$. Recent results on orbital periods are available for V500 Aql (Haefner 1999) and V446 Her and V533 Her (Thorstensen and Taylor 2000).

In addition to the nova data, absolute magnitudes of dwarf novae at maximum, based on HST FGS parallaxes, and of novalike stars, mainly based on Hipparcos parallaxes, were derived (the absolute magnitudes supersede those given by Duerbeck (1999)). Results are summarized in Fig. 18. If one discards novae in or below the period gap (CP Pup, RW UMi, QU Vul) and the long-period system GK Per, as well as the peculiar novalike AE Aqr, the remaining systems (with $-0.9 < \log P [\text{days}] < -0.4$) indicate a dependence of absolute magnitude on period:

$$M_V = (0.59 \pm 1.74) - (5.15 \pm 2.41) \log P [\text{days}]$$

(correlation coefficient 0.47). If the period (in hours) is used, the relation is

$$M_V = (6.60 \pm 1.0) - (0.49 \pm 0.20)P [\text{hours}]$$

which, at $P = 5$ hours, yields absolute magnitudes which are $0^m.3$ brighter than those predicted Warner (1995)'s formula for dwarf novae at maximum.

Summing up, no obvious separation of absolute magnitudes of objects belonging to different groups (novae at minimum, dwarf novae at outburst, and novalike systems at normal light) can be seen. This again shows the intimate connection of these groups, which is also obvious from space density considerations, and the hibernation scenario, which indicates that only by including novalike stars and dwarf novae to the space densities of novae, realistic recurrence times of novae can be obtained (Duerbeck and Covarrubias 1995).

6. Summary

We have obtained optical imaging of 30 recent novae, and have detected shells, in combination with *Hubble Space Telescope* data, for 14 objects. We fail to detect the previously observed shell around RW UMi, while PW Vul, V1974 Cyg, and V842 Cen appear to show two distinct shells. Expansion parallaxes for the novae have been derived, and combined with revised distances for other novae, have been used to derive absolute magnitudes. A study of various maximum magnitude-rate of decline relations is in general agreement with previous “linear” determinations. However, a relation making use of the light curve type may yield a somewhat better fit to the data. It clearly shows the existence of two types of novae: (1) The fast ($t_2 < 13, t_3 < 30$ days) super-Eddington novae, which may be He/N, “hybrid” Fe II novae, and, if their evolution is somewhat slower, Fe II novae. They all show smooth light curves with well-defined maxima (A), and some of them may show quasi-periodic light oscillations in their later evolution (Ao). (2) the slow $t_2 > 13, t_3 > 30$ days) Eddington-novae with structured light curves (Ba), double maxima (Bb), standstills at maximum (Ca), dust formations at later stages (Ca, Cb), and long premaximum stages (D), seem to belong exclusively to the Fe II spectroscopic type. The merging of both groups leads to a well-expressed MMRD; if both groups are considered separately, their MMRDs show smaller slopes, and a jump of $\sim 2^m$ occurs at $t_2 = 13$ days and $t_3 = 30$ days. At minimum, most novae have similar magnitudes as those of dwarf novae at maximum light and novalike stars.

We wish to thank the AAVSO for providing data from their International Database, which is based on observations submitted to the AAVSO by variable star observers worldwide, and the AFOEV for providing data from their internet database. We also thank Tim Naylor and Fred Ringwald for providing a copy of their PW Vul data, Jeff Robertson for providing a copy of his V2014 Oph data, Jack MacConnell for investigating the reality of Nova Car 1971 and 1972, and Pierluigi Selvelli for information on interstellar extinction data. HWD also gratefully acknowledges the hospitality and support of Space Telescope Science Institute, where he could carry out part of the work on which this investigation is based. We wish to thank an anonymous referee for many useful comments on this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Almár, I., Illés-Almár, E. 1966, Mitt. Sternw. Budapest 60
- Andreä, J. 1991, PhD thesis, Erlangen-Nürnberg University
- Andreä, J., Drechsel, H., Snijders, M.A.J., and Cassatella, A. 1991, A&A, 244, 111
- Andreä, J., Drechsel, H., and Starrfield, S. 1994, A&A, 291, 869
- Andrillat, Y. and Houziaux, L. 1989, MNRAS, 238, 29p
- Belle, K.E., Woodward, C. E., Evans, A., Eyres, S., Gehr, R. D., Schuster, M., Greenhouse, M. A., Krautter, J., Starrfield, S. G., Truran, J. 1999, BAAS, 31, 977
- Bergner, Y.K., Bondarenko, S.I., Miroshnichenko, A.S., Yudin, R.V., Yutanov, N.Y., Kuratov, K.S., and Mukanov, D.B. 1985, Astron. Tsirk., 1374

- Bertaud, C. 1945, *Ann. Paris Obs.* 9, fasc. 1
- Bertaud, C. 1962, *J. Obs.*, 45, 321
- Bowen, I.S. 1956, *AJ*, 61, 336
- Buscombe, W., de Vaucouleurs, G. 1955, *Observatory*, 75, 170
- Campbell, L. 1903, *Harvard Ann.*, 48, 39
- Campbell, L. 1919, *Harvard Ann.*, 81, 113
- Ciatti, F. and Rosino, L. 1974, *A&AS*, 16, 305
- Chochol, D., Grygar, J., Pribulla, T., Komžík, R., Hric, L., and Elkin, V. 1997, *A&A*, 318, 908
- Chochol, D., Hric, L., Urban, Z., Komžík, R., Grygar, J., and Papousek, J. 1993, *A&A*, 277, 103
- Chochol, D., Komžík, R., Hric, L., and Grygar, J. 1995, in *Cataclysmic Variables*, ed. A. Bianchini et al., (Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers), p. 153
- Cohen, J.G. 1985, *ApJ*, 292, 90
- Cohen, J.G. and Rosenthal, A.J. 1983, *ApJ*, 268, 689
- Cottrell, M.J. and Smith, S.E. 1978, *PASP*, 90, 441
- Della Valle, M. 1991, *A&A*, 252, L9
- Della Valle, M. 1993, *IAU Circ.*, 5870
- Della Valle, M. and Benetti, S. 1996, *IAU Circ.*, 6532
- Della Valle, M. and Duerbeck, H.W. 1993, *A&A*, 275, 239
- Della Valle, M. and Livio, M. 1995, *ApJ*, 452, 704
- Della Valle, M., Gilmozzi, R., Bianchini, A., and Esenoglu, H. 1997, *A&A*, 325, 1151
- Drechsel, H., Rahe, J., Duerbeck, H.W., Kohoutek, L., and Seitter, W. 1977, *A&AS*, 30, 323
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1981, *PASP*, 93, 165
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1987a, *Ap&SS*, 131, 461
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1987b, *Space Sci. Rev.*, 45, 1
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1987c, *The Messenger (ESO)*, 50, 8
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1992, *Acta Astron.*, 42, 85
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1996, in *Light Curves of Variable Stars – a Pictorial Atlas*, ed. C. Sterken and C. Jaschek, (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press), p. 134 seq.
- Duerbeck, H.W. 1999, *IBVS*, 4731

- Duerbeck, H.W. and Covarrubias, R. 1995, in IAU Coll. 151, Flares and Flashes, eds. J. Greiner et al., (Berlin, Springer), p. 264
- Duerbeck, H.W. and Zwitter, T. 2000, in preparation
- Duerbeck, H.W., Geffert, M., Nelles, B., Dümmler, R., and Nolte, M. 1984, IBVS, 2641
- Duerbeck, H.W., Shara, M.M., Leibowitz, E.M., and Seitter, W.C. 2000, in preparation
- Gaposchkin, S. 1946, Harvard Bull., 918
- Gehrz, R.D., Grasdalen, G.L., and Hackwell, J.A. 1985, ApJ, 298, L47
- Gill, C.D. and O’Brien, T.J. 1998, MNRAS, 300, 221
- Gill, C.D. and O’Brien, T.J. 2000, MNRAS, 314, 175
- Gilmozzi, R., Selvelli, P., Cassatella, A. 1994, Mem. Soc. Astron. Italiana, 65, 199
- Gratton, L. 1953, ApJ, 118, 568
- Haefner, R. 1999, IBVS, 4706
- Hakkila, J., Myers, J.M., Stidham, B.J., Hartmann, D.H. 1997, AJ, 114, 2043
- Herbig, G.H. and Smak, J.I. 1992, Acta Astron., 42, 17
- Hjellming, R.M. 1994, talk presented at the 184th AAS meeting
- Kaluzny, J. and Chlebowsky, T. 1989, Acta Astron., 39, 35
- Kenyon, S.J. and Wade, R.A. 1986, PASP, 98, 935
- Klare, G. and Wolf, B. 1978, A&AS, 33, 327
- Kohoutek, L. 1981, MNRAS, 196, 87P
- Krautter, J., Klare, G., Wolf, B., Duerbeck, H.W., Rahe, J., Vogt, N., and Wargau, W. 1981, A&A, 102, 337
- Kukarkin, B.W. and Gitz, H.K. 1937, Astr. Zh. SU 14, 220
- Lance, C.M., McCall, M.L. and Uomoto, A.K. 1988, ApJS, 66, 151
- Larsson-Leander, G. 1954, An. Stockholm Obs. 18, No. 3
- Leibowitz, E.M., Wyckoff, S., and Vidal, N.V. 1976, PASP, 88, 750
- Liller, W. 1993, IAU Circ., 5867
- MacConnell, D.J. 1999, private communication
- Malakpur, I. 1975, Ap&SS, 48, 403
- Marsh, T.R., Oke, J.B., and Wade, R.A. 1983, MNRAS, 205, 33p

- Mattei, J. A., 1999, Observations from the AAVSO International Database, private communication
- McLaughlin, D.B. 1943, Pub. AAS 10, 310
- McLaughlin, D.B. 1960, in *Stellar Atmospheres*, ed. J.L. Greenstein, (Chicago, University of Chicago Press), p. 585
- Orio, M., Balman, S., Della Valle, M., Gallagher, J. and Ögelman, H. 1996, *ApJ*, 466, 410
- Pachoulakis, I. and Saizar, P. 1995, in *Cataclysmic Variables*, ed. A. Bianchini et al., (Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers), p. 153
- Paresce, F. 1994, *A&A*, 282, L13
- Paresce, F., Livio, M., Hack, W., and Korista, K. 1995, *A&A*, 299, 823
- Pettit, E. 1949, *PASP*, 61,41
- Ringwald, F.A. and Naylor, T. 1996, *MNRAS*, 278, 808
- Ringwald, F.A. Naylor, T. and Mukai. K. 1996, *MNRAS*, 281, 192
- Ringwald, F.A., Wade, R.A., Orosz, J.A., and Ciardullo, R.B. 1998, *BAAS*, 30, 893
- Ritter, H. and Kolb, U. 1998, *A&AS*, 129, 83
- Robb, R.M. and Scarfe, C.D. 1995, *MNRAS*, 273, 347
- Robertson, J.W., Honeycutt, R.K., and Henden, A.H. 1999, *BAAS*, 31, 1247
- Rosino, L. and Iijima, T. 1987, *Ap&SS*, 130, 157
- Rosino, L., Iijima, T., Rafanelli, P., Radovich, M., Esenoglu, H. and Della Valle 1996, *A&A*, 315, 463
- Saizar, P., Starrfield, S., Ferland, G. J., Wagner, R. M., Truran, J. W., Kenyon, S. J., Sparks, W. M., Williams, R. E., Stryker, L. L. 1991, *ApJ*, 367, 310
- Saizar, P., Pachoulakis, I., Shore, S.N., Starrfield, S., Williams, R.E., Rothschild, E. and Sonneborn, G., 1996, *MNRAS*, 279, 280
- Sanford, R.F. 1945, *ApJ*, 102, 357
- Satyvaldiev, V. 1963, *Byull. Inst. Astrof. AN Tadj. SSR (Dushanbe)* 36, 37
- Seitter, W.C. 1990, in *IAU Coll. No. 122, Physics of Classical Novae*, ed. A. Cassatella and R. Viotti, (Berlin, Springer-Verlag), p. 79
- Sekiguchi, K. Feast, M.W., Fairall, A.P., Winkler, H. 1989, *MNRAS*, 241, 311
- Shin, J.-Y., Gehrz, R.D., Jones, T.J., Krautter, J., Heidt, J., and Hjellming, R.M. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 1966

- Shore, S.N., Sonneborn, G., Starrfield, S., Gonzalez-Riestra, R. and Ake, T.B. 1993, *AJ*, 106, 2408
- Slavin, A.J., O’Brien, T.J. and Dunlop, J.S. 1994, *MNRAS*, 266, L55
- Slavin, A.J., O’Brien, T.J. and Dunlop, J.S. 1995 *MNRAS*, 276, 353
- Soderblom, D. 1976, *PASP*, 88, 517
- Solf, J. 1983, *ApJ*, 273, 647
- Spencer Jones, H. 1931, *Ann. Cape Obs.* 10
- Szkody, P. 1994, *AJ*, 108, 639
- Szkody, P. and Howell, S.B. 1992, *ApJS*, 78, 537
- Taylor, A.R., Seaquist, E.R., Hollis, J.M., and Pottasch, S.R. 1987, *A&A*, 183, 38
- Thorstensen, J.R., and Taylor, C.J. 2000, *MNRAS*, 312, 629
- van den Bergh, S. and Pritchett, C.J. 1986, *PASP*, 98, 110
- van den Bergh, S. and Younger P.F. 1987, *A&AS*, 70, 125
- Verbunt, F. 1987, *A&AS*, 71, 339
- Vogt, N. and Maitzen, H.M. 1977, *A&A*, 61, 601
- Wade, R.A., Ciardullo, R., Jacoby, G.H., and Sharp, N.A. 1991, *AJ*, 102, 1738
- Wade, R.A., Harlow, J.J.B., and Ciardullo, R. 2000, *PASP*, 112, 614
- Warner, B. 1987, *MNRAS*, 227, 83
- Warner, B. 1995, *Cataclysmic Variable Stars*, (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press), p. 146
- Williams, R.E. 1982, *ApJ*, 261, 170
- Williams, R.E. 1992, *AJ*, 104, 725
- Williams, R.E., Phillips, M.M., and Hamuy, M. 1994, *ApJS*, 90, 297
- Whitney, B.A. and Clayton, G.C. 1989, *AJ*, 98, 297
- Wu, C.-C., Panek, R.J., Holm, A.V., Raymond, J.C., Hartmann, L.W., and Swank, J.H. 1989, *ApJ*, 339, 443
- Younger, J.W. 1980, *AJ*, 85, 1233
- Zakharov, G.P. 1953, *Perem. Zvezdy*, 9, 175

Fig. 1.— $H\alpha$ and [O III] $\lambda 5007$ images of CP Pup 1942 – flat-fielded images and contour plots.

Fig. 2.— $H\alpha$ images of CT Ser 1948 – flat-fielded image, radial profile, and PSF-subtracted data.

Fig. 3.— $H\alpha$ contour plots of RW UMi 1956 – both the nova and a nearby field star of comparable brightness. There is no indication of a shell.

Fig. 4.— (a) $H\alpha$ and (b) [O III] $\lambda 5007$ images of HR Del 1967 – flat-fielded images, radial profiles, and PSF-subtracted data.

Fig. 5.— $H\alpha$ images of V3888 Sgr 1974 – flat-fielded image and contour plots for the direct and PSF-subtracted data.

Fig. 6.— $H\alpha$ images of NQ Vul 1976 – flat-fielded image and contour plots for the direct and PSF-subtracted data.

Fig. 7.— $H\alpha$ images of PW Vul 1984 – flat-fielded image, radial profile, and PSF-subtracted data.

Fig. 8.— (a) $H\alpha$ and (b) [O III] $\lambda 5007$ images of QU Vul 1984 – flat-fielded images, radial profiles, and PSF-subtracted data. While the [O III] PSF-subtracted image shows the object weakly, the radial profile clearly demonstrates the extended nature of the nova.

Fig. 9.— $H\alpha$ on-band and off-band images of novae with shells detected by *Hubble Space Telescope*. The objects are clearly $H\alpha$ bright.

Fig. 10.— (a) $H\alpha$ and (b) [O III] $\lambda 5007$ images of V842 Cen 1986 – flat-fielded images, radial profiles, and PSF-subtracted data.

Fig. 11.— $H\alpha$ images (on-band and off-band) of V351 Pup 1991 – flat-fielded images, radial profiles, and PSF-subtracted data. While the PSF-subtracted image fails to detect any shell, the radial profile of the nova is clearly extended in the on-band image, while it is not in the off-band image.

Fig. 12.— (a) $H\alpha$ and (b) [O III] $\lambda 5007$ images of V1974 Cyg 1992 – flat-fielded images, radial profiles, and PSF-subtracted data.

Fig. 13.— $H\alpha$ on-band and off-band images of novae without published charts. For V868 Cen, BY Cir, V4361 Sgr, and N Sco 1997, the object is clearly $H\alpha$ bright. For V888 Cen, the southern object is $H\alpha$ bright, although blending with the northern companion masks this brightness.

Fig. 14.— Plot of the axial ratio of the nova shells versus t_3 . The points have not been corrected for inclination. Points 1-9 are from this work, while the remainder are taken from Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995). The values for CP Pup, HR Del, and NQ Vul are averages of our data and that of Slavin, O’Brien and Dunlop (1995). Note that the time for CT Ser is t_2 rather than t_3 .

Fig. 15.— Linear MMRD relation for t_3 . The dashed line is the global fit to the data, while the solid lines are the fits for the “super-Eddington” (upper left) and “Eddington” (lower right) novae. The objects XX Tau and V500 Aql, situated in the upper right area of the diagram, are poorly studied, and may have uncertain luminosities and decline times. The average error of a

data point is indicated in the upper right corner.

Fig. 16.— Same as figure 15 for t_2 .

Fig. 17.— Curved MMRD relation for the decline rate v_d , i.e. the average daily decline in magnitudes from maximum to maximum + 2^m. The average error of a data point is indicated in the upper right corner.

Fig. 18.— Absolute visual magnitudes for classical novae at minimum (filled circles), for dwarf novae at maximum (open circles), and for novalike stars at normal light (open squares). Systems in or below the period gap, the long-period nova system GK Per, and the peculiar novalike object AE Aqr were not included in the fit.

Table 1. Summary of Observations

Object	Telescope	Date	Exp. Time H α	Exp. Time [O III]	V
GQ Mus	ESO Dutch-0.9m	21 March 1998	900s	1200s	18.37
V351 Pup			600s	1200s	19.55
HY Lup			600s	1200s	18.86
CP Cru			600s	1200s	19.48
N Car 72			1200s		unident.
V365 Car		22 March 1998	600s		18.47
V868 Cen			600s	900s	19.88
V888 Cen			600s	900s	16.38
CP Pup			600s		14.99
V842 Cen		23 March 1998	450s	600s	15.82
V812 Cen			600s	900s	20.44
BY Cir			450s	600s	15.86
V4361 Sgr			180s	180s	16.85
N Sco 97			180s	180s	17.58
CP Pup				1200s	
N Car 71			900s		unident.
RW UMi	KPNO-2.1m	28 May 1998	1050s	1050s	18.95
V827 Her			720s	600s	18.08
HR Del			60s	60s	12.16
V1819 Cyg				600s	20.33
CT Ser		29 May 1998	1050s	1050s	-
V794 Oph			1050s	1050s	-
V838 Her			660s	900s	19.07
QV Vul			660s	900s	18.61
V1819 Cyg			660s		
V1974 Cyg		31 May 1998	660s	660s	16.06
PW Vul				360s	17.51
V2104 Oph			3600s	2700s	20.50
V3888 Sgr		01 June 1998	3600s		20.96
V3645 Sgr			150s		unident.
NQ Vul			660s	600s	17.70
PW Vul			360s		
QU Vul	KPNO-0.9m	30 June 1998	1550s	1800s	18.31

Table 2. Systems with Shells

Nova (Outburst date)	Measurement ^a Technique	Diameter ($''$)	Year Taken	Ref.	v_{exp} (km/s)	Ref.
CP Pup (1942.86)	D	16.9	1998.23	0	815	12
	D	16.0	1995.75	1		
	D	13.4	1987.20	24		
CT Ser (1948.27)	D	7.9×7.9	1998.23	0	535	8
RW UMi (1956.73)		not seen	1998.41	0	950	11
		not seen	1997.98	1		
	D	3.0	1993.69	27		
HR Del (1967.52)	R	2.0	1983.5	11	470,540 520,560	32,34 8,35
	D	9.8×8.5	1998.41	0		
	D	8.7×6.9	1997.40	1		
	D	11.5×8.5	1993.69	27		
	D	5.5	1992.58	7		
V3888 Sgr (1974.76)	D	3.7×2.5	1981.33	13	1300 1300,1700 950,705	22 15,16 14,8
	D	5.2×4.6	1998.41	0		
	D	7.3×7.0	1998.41	0		
		not seen	1997.92	1		
	D	8	1993.69	27		
PW Vul (1984.57)	D	1.5×1.5	1998.79	1	1200,470	17,3
	D	4.0×3.7	1998.41	0		
	R	1.1	1993.64	3		
QU Vul (1984.99)	D	1.6×1.7	1998.71	1,6	790,1200 570,1190	19,26 37,4
	D	5.6×5.6	1998.50	0		
	D	1.6×1.3	1998.18 ^e	2		
	R	0.8	1996.35 ^b	5		
	R	1.9	1994.52	4		
	D	0.2×0.1	1986.34 ^d	26		
V1819 Cyg (1986.57)	D	0.5×0.5	1999.18 ^c	1	600 700	38 39
		not seen	1998.41	0		
	D	5.6×6.0	1998.23	0	535	23
V842 Cen (1986.89)	D	1.6	1995.15	10	525	33
	D	0.8×0.9	1998.95 ^c	1	515	37
		visible	1998.95	1		
QV Vul (1987.87)	D	1.4×1.4	1998.62 ^e	2		
		not seen	1998.41	0		
V351 Pup (1991.99)	D	1.3	1998.23	1,6	2000 1200	36 40
		visible	1998.12	0		
	R	0.58	1995.17	36		
V1974 Cyg (1992.14)	D	8.6×9.0	1998.41	0	825,1100 1500,860	9,20 21,28
	D	1.8×1.7	1998.12	1		
	D	1.4×1.3	1998.11 ^e	2		
	D	0.4×0.5	1994.38 ^f	30		
	D	0.3×0.4	1994.03 ^f	30		
HY Lup (1993.71)	D	0.2×0.3	1993.41 ^g	31	2700,400	25,18
	D	0.5×0.5	1999.12	1		
		not seen	1998.23	0		
CP Cru (1996.65)	D	0.6×0.6	1998.91	1,6	2000	29
		not seen	1998.23	0		

^aD = direct image, R = radial profile fit^b2.2 μ m data^cHST - F658N ([N II] λ 6583) data^d15 GHz data^eHST - F222M (2.15 – 2.30 μ m) data^fHST - F501N ([O III] λ 5007) data^gHST - F438W (4.35 – 4.50 μ m) data

Table 3. Nova Distances

Nova	Age (yr)	v_{exp} adopted	Exp. Rate ("/yr)	Distance (pc)
CP Pup	55.37	815	0.153	1120
	52.89		0.151	1140
	44.34		0.151	1140
CT Ser	49.96	535	0.079	1430
RW UMi	36.96	950	0.037	4900
	26.8		0.041	5400
HR Del	30.89	525	0.149	750
	29.88		0.146	760
	26.17		0.220	505
	25.06		0.110	1010
	13.81		0.134	825
V3888 Sgr	23.65	1300	0.110	2495
NQ Vul	21.60	1025	0.169	1280
	16.88	1025	0.237	910
	16.88	545	0.090	1280
PW Vul	14.22	470	0.053	1880
	13.84	1200	0.145	1750
	9.07	470	0.061	1635
QU Vul	13.72	570	0.060	2005
	13.51	1500	0.207	1530
V1819 Cyg	12.61	700	0.020	7385
V842 Cen	11.34	(1600)	0.255	(1325)
	8.26	525	0.097	1140
QV Vul	11.08	515	0.041	2675
V351 Pup	6.24	1200	0.100	2530
	3.18		0.091	2775
V1974 Cyg	6.27	(4500)	0.718	(1320)
	2.24	1060	0.112	2000
	1.89		0.106	2115
	1.30		0.115	1940
HY Lup	5.41	400	0.046	1800
CP Cru	2.26	2000	0.133	3180

Table 4. Systems with no resolved shell detected at H α

Nova	Outburst Year	Age (years)	Limiting (3 σ) Ground	Flux ^a WFPC2
V794 Oph	1939.45	58.78	4.95	
V365 Car	1948.74	49.49	52.2	
V812 Cen	1973.25	24.98	69.0	
V2104 Oph	1976.76	21.68	0.44	
GQ Mus	1983.05	15.18	26.4	2.57
V827 Her	1987.05	12.41	0.86	1.32
V838 Her	1991.23	7.18	2.05	1.17
V868 Cen	1991.25	6.98	32.1	
BY Cir	1995.07	3.16	^b	
V888 Cen	1995.15	3.08	^b	
V4361 Sgr	1996.53	1.70	^b	
N Sco 1997	1997.43	0.80	^b	

^a10⁻¹⁵ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ arcsec⁻²

^btoo young to be resolved

Table 5. Nova Luminosities

Object	spec. type ^a	light curve type	t_2 (days)	t_3 (days)	decline rate m/day	M_{max} V	M_{15} V	comment
V500 Aql	He?	Ao	17	42	0.118	-8.7 ± 0.4	-6.8	pg
V603 Aql	hy	Ao	4	9	0.500	-8.9 ± 0.2	-4.9	
V1229 Aql	Fe	B?	20	38	0.100	-6.7 ± 0.95	-4.9	
T Aur	Fe	Ca	45	50	0.044	-6.8 ± 0.5	-6.2	pg
V842 Cen	Fe	Cb	35	48	0.057	-7.4 ± 1.0	-6.5	
V450 Cyg	Fe	Ca	88	108	0.023	-6.5 ± 0.6	-5.9	
V476 Cyg	Fe	A	6	15	0.333	-9.9 ± 0.6	-6.9	
V1500 Cyg	hy	A	2.4	3.7	1.111	-10.7 ± 0.5	-5.5	
V1819 Cyg	Fe	Bb	37	89	0.054	-6.8 ± 0.5	-5.6	
V1974 Cyg	Fe	Ba	17	37	0.125	-8.0 ± 0.1	-6.4	ONeMg
HR Del	Fe	D	172	230	0.012	-6.1 ± 0.4	-4.8	
DQ Her	Fe	Ca	39	86	0.051	-7.5 ± 0.3	-6.55	
V446 Her	He	A	7	11.5	0.426	-9.9 ± 0.2	-6.5	pg
V533 Her	Fe	Ba	22	46	0.091	-7.5 ± 0.5	-6.1	
CP Lac	hy	A	5.3	9.8	0.377	-9.3	-5.7	
DK Lac	Fe	Ao?	11	24	0.182	-9.6 ± 0.5	-7.4	
GK Per	He	Ao	7	13	0.286	-9.0 ± 0.3	-5.9	
RR Pic	Fe	D	20	127	0.100	-7.8 ± 0.3	-6.0	
CP Pup	He	A	6	8	0.333	-10.7 ± 0.5	-6.1	
V351 Pup	Fe	A	10	26	0.200	-8.4 ± 0.5	-6.1	ONeMg
FH Ser	Fe	Cb	42	59	0.048	-7.3 ± 0.5	-6.45	
XX Tau	Fe	Cb	24	43	0.083	-8.4 ± 0.75	-7.0	pg
RW UMi	?	Ba	48	88	0.042	-7.8 ± 0.9	-7.3	pg
LV Vul	Fe	Ba	21	43	0.095	-7.0 ± 0.45	-5.85	
NQ Vul	Fe	Bb	23	53	0.087	-6.1 ± 0.8	-4.85	
PW Vul	Fe	Bb	82	126	0.024	-6.7 ± 0.4	-5.3	
QU Vul	Fe	Ba	22	49	0.091	-7.5 ± 0.25	-6.35	ONeMg
QV Vul	Fe	Cb	50	53	0.040	-6.4	-5.45	

^aHe = He/N type, Fe = Fe II type, hy = hybrid type, evolving from Fe II into He/N type

Table 6. Cataclysmic Variable Luminosities

Nova	P(orbit) [days]	$V_{\min}$	distance [kpc]	A_V	M_V	i [degrees]	M_V^{corr}	comment
V500 Aql	0.1452	19.25	5.9	0.94 ± 0.30	4.50		4.85	Novae at minimum
V603 Aql	0.1381	11.70	0.33	0.22 ± 0.10	3.90	17.	4.80	
V1229 Aql			2.1	1.58 ± 0.25				
T Aur	0.2044	15.03	0.96	0.64	4.50	57.	4.45	
V842 Cen		15.82	1.15	1.73 ± 0.16				
V450 Cyg		19.	3.5	1.3 ± 0.4	5.:		5.4	
V476 Cyg		17.70	1.62	0.82 ± 0.36	5.85		6.20	
V1500 Cyg	0.1396	17.42	1.5	1.6 ± 0.16	4.95	?	5.35	
V1819 Cyg		20.33	7.4	1.10 ± 0.47	4.90		5.25	
HR Del	0.2142	12.16	0.76	0.47 ± 0.10	2.30	40.	2.80	
DQ Her	0.1936	14.38	0.48	0.32 ± 0.16	5.30	86.5	2.70	
V446 Her	0.2071	17.61	1.35	1.12	5.85		6.20	
V533 Her	0.1471	15.06	1.25	0.00 ± 0.04	4.60	?	4.95	
CP Lac		15.80	1.35	0.64	4.50		4.90	
DK Lac		16.75	3.9	1.4 ± 0.4	2.40		2.75	
BT Mon	0.3338	15.59	1.8	0.48	3.85	82	1.90	
GK Per	1.9968	13.01	0.455	0.95 ± 0.16	3.75	< 73	4.15	
RR Pic	0.1450	12.50	0.58	0.16 ± 0.10	3.55	65	3.45	
CP Pup	0.0614	14.99	1.7	0.79	3.90	40:	4.45	
V351 Pup		19.55		2.29 ± 0.32				
V3888 Sgr		20.96	2.5	3.2	5.75		6.15	
CT Ser	0.1950	16.14	1.4	0.02 ± 0.17	5.40		5.75	
FH Ser		16.97	0.95	2.02 ± 0.50	5.05		5.45	
XX Tau		18.58	3.5	1.26 ± 0.57	4.60		4.95	
RW UMi	0.079	18.95	5	0.29 ± 0.24	5.15		5.55	
LV Vul		15.90	0.92	1.75 ± 0.42	4.35		4.70	
NQ Vul		17.70	1.16	2.1 ± 0.7	5.30		5.65	
PW Vul	0.2137	17.51	1.8	1.73 ± 0.32	4.50		4.85	
QU Vul	0.1118	18.31	1.75	1.89	5.20		5.55	
QV Vul		18.61	2.7	1.26	5.20		5.55	
SS Aur	0.1828	10.5	0.20	0.19 ± 0.15	3.80	38	4.40	Dwarf Novae at maximum
SS Cyg	0.2751	8.7	0.166	0.13 ± 0.17	2.47	38	3.05	
U Gem	0.1729	9.8	0.096	0.27 ± 0.14	4.62	70	3.90	
AE Aqr	0.4117	11.5	0.102	0.20 ± 0.15	6.26	58	6.20	Novalike Variables
V3885 Sgr	0.2163	10.3	0.11	0.08 ± 0.14	5.01	< 50	5.40	
RW Sex	0.2451	10.6	0.29	0.05 ± 0.17	3.24	34	3.90	
IX Vel	0.1939	9.6	0.096	0.29 ± 0.21	4.40	60	4.25	

This figure "Downes.fig1.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig2.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig3.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig4a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig6.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig7.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig8a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig9.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig10a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig11.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig12a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>

This figure "Downes.fig13a.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/0006458v1>









